

# HIGHER REGULATORS OF MODULAR CURVES

A. A. Beilinson

In this paper I will show that the  $H^j_X$ -groups of any curve, uniformized by modular functions, contain a subgroup whose image under the regulator map is exactly the subgroup predicted by the conjectures of [1] §3 (cf. also [2] §8) about the values of L-functions.

I wish to thank Ju. I. Manin for his encouraging interest in the subject.

In the following I will use notations from the paper [2]. In particular if  $X$  is a scheme, then  $H^j_{\mathcal{H}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(i))$  is the subspace of Quillen's group  $K_{2i-j}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  on which Adams operators  $\psi^p$  act by multiplication by  $p^i$ .

## 1. THE STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT.

1.1. Modular curves, preliminaries and notations. In the following  $V$  denotes the two-dimensional space  $A^{f^2}$  over finite adeles  $A^f$ ,  $G:GL_2(A^f) = GL(V)$ .

1.1.1. Fix an integer  $N > 3$ . Then  $M_{(N)}$  denotes the moduli space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of elliptic curves with level  $N$  structure, and  $\bar{M}_{(N)}$  the one of generalized elliptic curves - the smooth compactification of  $M_{(N)}$ ; put  $M^\infty_{(N)} := \bar{M}_{(N)} - M_{(N)}$  with reduced scheme structure. Let  $\pi_{(N)}: X_{(N)} \rightarrow M_{(N)}$  be the universal curve and  $\alpha_{(N)}: (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 \rightarrow X_{(N)}(M_{(N)})$  be its level  $N$  structure. The scheme  $\bar{M}_{(N)}$  is a smooth projective absolutely irreducible curve over the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$ . One has compatible left actions of the group  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  on the schemes above; an element  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$  by  $g^*(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^{\det(g)}$ . One has also the action of  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$  on the scheme  $X_{(N)}$  by finite order point translations along the fibers of  $\pi_{(N)}$ , so in fact the semi-direct product  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$  acts on  $X_{(N)}$ .

If  $N_1, N_2$  are integers s.t.  $N_2 | N_1$ , then there are compatible natural morphisms  $M_{N_2}^{(-)} \rightarrow M_{N_1}^{(-)}, X_{N_1} \rightarrow X_{N_2}$  that commute with  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z})$ - and  $(\mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z})^2$ -action and  $\alpha(N_1)$  via the reduction map  $\mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N_2\mathbb{Z}$ . Put  $M := \varprojlim M_{(N)}^{(-)}$ ,  $X := \varprojlim X_{(N)}$ . These are schemes over the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$ . There is a canonical left  $G$ - and  $G \ltimes V$ -action on them s.t.  $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  act via the limits of actions on finite levels.

More generally, we will need Kuga-Sato schemes  $X^t \xrightarrow{\pi_t} M$  ( $t$  is an integer  $\geq 0$ ). These are  $t$ -fold fiber products of  $X$  over  $M: X^t := X \times_M \dots \times_M X$ ; so  $X^0 = M, X^1 = X$ . There is an action of  $G \ltimes V^t$  on  $X^t$  s.t. for any compact open subgroup  $K \subset G \ltimes V^t$  the factor scheme  $K \backslash X^t$  has finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $X^t = \varprojlim_K K \backslash X^t$ . Since for any  $K_1 \subset K_2$  the morphism  $K_1 \backslash X^t \rightarrow K_2 \backslash X^t$  is finite, we have  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^t(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \varprojlim_K H_{\mathcal{A}}^t(K \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ ; for small  $K$ 's the space  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^t(K \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  coincides with the space of  $K$ -invariant vectors in  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^t(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ . If  $H$  is any contravariant functor on schemes of finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we put by definition  $H(X^t) := \varprojlim H(K \backslash X^t)$ ; one has a natural action of  $G \ltimes V^t$  on  $H(X^t)$ . Same notation for  $M^\infty$ .

1.1.2. Consider the action of  $G$  on the component  $[M]^1$  of degree 1 of the motive of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic weight 2 parabolic  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations of  $G$ . Then one has  $[M]^1 = \bigoplus_{V \in \mathcal{R}} V \otimes M_V$  where  $M_V := \text{Hom}_G(V, M)$ . This decomposition induces the corresponding decomposition of  $H_{\text{DR}}^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \supset \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let index  $V$  denote the  $V$ -component of the representation; we have  $\Omega^1(\overline{M})_V = \mathcal{O} \otimes \Omega^1(M_V)$  and so on. The  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -spaces  $\Omega^1(M_V)$  and  $H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(i))$  are 1-dimensional (multiplicity one theorem), and one has  $L(M_V, S) = L(V^*, S)$ . Here the right  $L$ -function is the one of Hecke-Jacquet-Langlands of the representation  $V^*$  dual to  $V$ ; these  $L$ -functions are holomorphic and satisfy the functional equation. Note, that this functional equation implies that

$L(V, S)$  have simple zeros at non-positive integers; for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i \leq 0$  put  $\iota(V, i) := \frac{d}{ds} L(V, S)|_{s=i} \in (\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R})^*$ .

1.2. Construction of the elements in  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^t(\overline{M})$ . For any compact open subgroup  $W \subset V^t$  the scheme  $W \backslash X^t$  is smooth proper over  $M$ , so one has the Gysin map  $W \pi_*^t: H_{\mathcal{A}}^a(W \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^{a-2t}(M, \mathbb{Q}(b-t))$ . Clearly if  $W_1 \subset W_2$ , then  $W_1 \pi_*^t$  coincides with  $|W_1/W_2| \cdot \pi_{W_2}^t$  on  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^a(W_2 \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b)) \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}^a(W_1 \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b))$ . Let  $v$  denote the 1-dimensional  $G$ -module, dual to the one of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued invariant measures on  $V$ ;  $G$  acts on  $v$  by the character  $|\det|$ . Clearly, we have a canonical  $v^{\otimes t}$ -valued measure  $u$  on  $V^t$ . The above shows that we have canonical  $G$ -map  $\pi_*^t: H_{\mathcal{A}}^a(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^{a-2t}(M, \mathbb{Q}(b-t)) \otimes v^t$  that coincides with  $u(W) \cdot \pi_{W_*}^t$  on  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^a(W \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b))$ .

Note that localization sequence together with the Borel theorem and [1] (cf. [2] no. 5) imply

Lemma 1.2.1. The restriction map  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))$  is injective for  $\ell \geq 0$ . One has  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2)) = H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$  if  $\ell > 0$ . □

Definition 1.2.2. Put  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}} := \pi_*^t(\{H_{\mathcal{A}}^{\ell+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)), H_{\mathcal{A}}^{\ell+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))\} \otimes v^{-\ell})$ . Clearly  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}}$  is a  $G(A^f)$ -submodule of  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))$ . The following theorem will be proved in 2.4.1 for  $\ell > 0$  and in 5.2 for  $\ell = 0$ . Put  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}} := H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}} \cap H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))$ .

Theorem 1.2.3. If  $\ell > 0$  then  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}} = H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $\ell = 0$  then  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

1.3. The main theorem. Consider the subspace  $P_{\ell} := r_{\mathcal{A}}(H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{parab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This subspace is  $G(A^f)$ -invariant, so one has  $P_{\ell} = \bigoplus_{V \in \mathcal{R}} V \otimes P_{\ell V}$  for some  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  subspace  $P_V \subset H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$ .

Theorem 1.3. One has  $P_{\ell V} = \iota(V, -\ell) H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ . □

Clearly 1.2 and 1.1.3 imply that 1.3 is compatible with the conjectures of [1] §3, [2] §8. The conjectures themselves for the motives considered would be implied by 1.2, 1.3 and the unknown statement about the ranks of  $H_X^*(M_N, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ .

The proof of 1.3 goes as follows. First, in §2, we will see that the value of the regulator map  $r$  on an element  $\pi_*^t(\{\alpha, \beta\})$  of  $H_X^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))^{\text{Parab}}$  is a product of certain holomorphic and non-holomorphic Eisenstein series that correspond to residues of  $\alpha, \beta$  at parabolic points (cf. 2.4). Then, in §3, we will prove that any reasonable function on parabolic points is the residue of some element from  $H_X^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$  (for  $\ell = 0$  this is just Manin-Drinfeld theorem). The results of §§2,3 describe explicitly the space  $P_\ell$ . To find its  $V$ -components and their periods one has to compute Petersson's scalar products of elements of  $P_\ell$  with parabolic weight two eigenforms of Hecke operators. This is what Rankin's method does (cf. §4). In §§2-4 we will suppose that  $\ell > 0$ ; some minor changes needed to handle the case  $\ell = 0$  are presented in §5 (this case was treated in [1] §5; we present it here for completeness sake).

## 2. REGULATORS AND EISENSTEIN SERIES.

We will use, throughout the text, the following notations. If  $Z$  is an analytic manifold over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\dim Z = N$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Z^\bullet$  are complexes of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -class forms and currents respectively; one has  $\mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet \subset \mathcal{C}_Z^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{R}(-N)[-2N]$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_Z^n \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathcal{E}_Z^{p,q}$ .

$\mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{R}(i) \oplus \mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{R}(i-1)$ . Put  $\mathcal{E}^\bullet(Z, \mathbb{R}(i)) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{R}(i)), \dots$ ; for  $w \in \mathcal{E}^\bullet(Z, \mathbb{C})$  let  $w^{\bar{1}} \in \mathcal{E}^\bullet(Z, \mathbb{R}(i))$ ,  $w^{(p,q)} \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(Z)$  denote its projections on corresponding spaces. If  $\pi: Z \rightarrow T$  is a smooth map of relative dimension 1, then  $\mathcal{E}_{Z/T}^\bullet, \dots$  denote the sheaves on  $Z$  of relative forms along the fibers; we have a restriction to the fibers arrow  $\# \mathcal{E}_{Z/T}^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{Z/T}^{p,q} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{E}_T^{p-q, q-l}$ . If  $\pi$  is proper, then we have the integration along the fibers map  $\pi_*: \mathcal{C}^\bullet(Z, \mathbb{R}(*)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet(T, \mathbb{R}(*))$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^\bullet(Z, \mathbb{R}(*)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-2\ell}(Z, \mathbb{R}(*-\ell))$  that factors through  $\#$ . For a bundle  $S$  over  $Z$  let  $\mathcal{E}_{C^\infty}^\bullet$  be the sheaf of its  $C^\infty$ -class sections; so  $\Omega_{C^\infty}^n = \mathcal{E}_{C^\infty}^{n,0}$ . If  $Z_\mathbb{Q}$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , put  $\mathcal{E}^\bullet(Z) = \mathcal{E}^\bullet(Z \otimes \mathbb{R}), \dots$ .

2.1. Preliminaries. In this  $n^\circ$  we will recall some basic facts about residues and Eisenstein series. Let  $w^\ell := (w^1)^{\otimes \ell} = \pi_*^t(\Omega^\ell(X^\ell/M))$  be the sheaf on  $M$  of weight  $\ell$  modular forms.

2.1.0. Traces. Define the direct image map  $\pi_*^t: \mathcal{E}^\bullet(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(*)) = \mathcal{E}^{-2\ell}(M, \mathbb{R}(*-\ell)) \otimes v^\ell, \dots$ , to be  $u(W) \cdot \pi_*^t$  on  $\mathcal{E}^\bullet(W \setminus X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(*))$ ; same definition for currents and cohomology classes; these are well-defined  $G$ -maps. We have a canonical  $G$ -isomorphism  $w_{C^\infty}^\ell \otimes \bar{w}_{C^\infty}^\ell \cong \mathcal{O}_{C^\infty}^\ell \otimes v^\ell$ ,  $w \cdot \bar{w} := \pi_*^t(w \wedge \bar{w})$ . Define trace maps  $\mathcal{E}^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{R}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H_B^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  to be  $u'(K)$ -times integration over the fundamental cycle of  $K \setminus \bar{M}$  (here  $u'$  is an invariant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued measure on  $G$ ) for sufficiently small compact open subgroup  $K \subset G$ . These are also well-defined  $G$ -maps; they define  $G$ -pairings: Petersson's scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathcal{E}^{\ell,0}(\bar{M}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{1,0}(\bar{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (\alpha, \beta) = \text{Tr } \alpha \wedge \beta$  and Poincaré duality  $H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \otimes H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(1-i)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ .

2.1.1. Cusps. Recall the standard parametrization of  $M^\infty$ . Let  $\hat{X}$  be a group scheme over  $\bar{M}$  obtained by adding to  $X$  the neutral components of fibers of Neron model over  $M^\infty$ . More precisely, for a compact open subgroup  $W \subset V$ , one has the group scheme  $\hat{X}_W$  of finite type over  $\bar{M}$  s.t. for sufficiently small  $K \subset G_W, \hat{X}_W$  coincides with inverse image of the scheme  $K_W \hat{X}$  over  $K \setminus \bar{M}$ , where  $K_W \hat{X}$  is  $K_W \setminus X$  with added neutral components of fibers of Neron model. When  $W$  varies the schemes  $\hat{X}_W$  form an obvious projective system; put  $\hat{X} = \varprojlim_W \hat{X}_W$ . Clearly the  $G$ -action on  $X$  prolongs to the one on  $\hat{X}$ .

Let  $x \in M^\infty$  be a point. Note that the fiber of any  $\hat{X}_W$  over  $x$  is isomorphic to a multiplicative group  $G_m$ , so we may consider the fiber  $\hat{X}_x$  as a group up to isogeny, isomorphic to  $G_m$ . Call a parameter on  $\hat{X}_x$  an isomorphism  $\tilde{G}_m \xrightarrow{t} \hat{X}_x$  where  $\tilde{G}_m$  is  $G_m$  in the category of groups up to isogeny; clearly parameters form  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ -torsor, since  $\mathbb{Q}^* = \text{Aut}(\tilde{G}_m)$ . Now note that the group  $F^*/\mathcal{O}_x^*$  ( $F$  = field of rational functions on  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_x$  = local ring of  $x \in \bar{M}$ ) is a 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -space, so the elements of  $\mathcal{O}_x \setminus \{0\} / \mathcal{O}_x^* \subset F^*/\mathcal{O}_x^*$  - call them parameters at  $x$  - form  $\mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ -torsor. Define an enhanced point to be a triple  $(x, t, q)$  where  $x$  is a parabolic point,  $t$  is a parameter on  $\hat{X}_x$  and  $q$  is a parameter at  $x$ . Clearly the space  $\tilde{M}^\infty$  of enhanced points is a right  $\mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ -torsor over  $M^\infty$ ; it is also obviously

supplied with left  $G$ -action. The standard Tate curve defines the enhanced point  $x_0 \in \tilde{M}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta])$ . The stabilizer of this point in  $G$  is  $U(A^f)$  where  $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset GL_2$ . The elements  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$  and  $v \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z}^*$  act on  $x_0$  by right multiplication by matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. Since  $G$  acts on  $\tilde{M}^\infty$  transitively this describes  $\tilde{M}^\infty$  and  $M^\infty$  completely. In particular the underlying space  $|M^\infty|$  of  $M^\infty$  is  $G/[B(\mathbb{Q})(\mathcal{D} \cdot U)(A^f)]$ , where  $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

Fixing the  $\mathbb{C}$ -point of  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]: \zeta_N = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$ , one has  $M^\infty(\mathbb{C}) = G/B(\mathbb{Q})^+$ , where  $B(\mathbb{Q})^+ := \{g \in B(\mathbb{Q}) : \det g > 0\}$ .

**2.1.2. Residues.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define a  $G$ -morphism  $\text{Res}^t: H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow H_B^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, A)$  as follows. Consider the  $G$ -scheme  $\hat{X}^t := \hat{X} \times \dots \times \hat{X}$  ( $t$ -fold fiber product). For compact open  $W \subset V^t$  and sufficiently small  $K \subset G$  one has the residue map  $\text{Res}^t_{KW} = \prod_{x \in K \backslash M^\infty} \text{Res}^t_x: H_B^{t+1}(KW \backslash X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow H_B^t(KW \backslash \hat{X}^t, A(t))$   
 $= \prod_{x \in K \backslash M^\infty} H_B^t(\hat{X}_x^t, A(t))$  the boundary map in exact sequence of pairs  $(\hat{X}_x^t, KW \backslash X^t)$  here  $KW \backslash X^t = \coprod_{x \in K \backslash M^\infty} \hat{X}_x^t$  is fiber of  $KW \backslash X^t$  over  $K \backslash M^\infty$ . This map depends on the choice of  $K$  in the following way: if  $K' \subset K$ ,  $x \in K' \backslash M^\infty$  and  $e$  is the ramification index of  $\pi: K'/M \rightarrow K \backslash M$  at  $x$ , then one has  $e \cdot \pi_{KW}^* \text{Res}^t_x(x) = K' \backslash \text{Res}^t_{K'} \pi^*$ . For an enhanced point  $\tilde{x} = (x, t, q)$  define  $K^e(\tilde{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$  by  $K^e(\tilde{x}) = K^q_x$  in  $F^*/\theta^*$ , where  $K^q_x$  is natural parameter at  $x \in K \backslash M$ . Then the arrows  $K^e(\tilde{x})_{KW} \text{Res}^t_x$  for different  $K$ 's are compatible, so one has the map  $\text{Res}^t_{\tilde{x}} := \lim_{K, W} K^e(\tilde{x})_{KW} \text{Res}^t_x: H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow H_B^t(\hat{X}_K^t, A(t))$ . Finally define  $\text{Res}^t_{\tilde{x}}: H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow A$  to be  $\text{Res}^t_{\tilde{x}}$  composed with  $H_B^t(\hat{X}_K^t, A(t)) \xrightarrow{q^*} H_B^t(\tilde{G}_m^t, A(t)) \simeq A$ ; put  $\text{Res}^t := \prod_{\tilde{x}} \text{Res}^t_{\tilde{x}}$ .

In the same way one defines  $\text{Res}^t$  in any other cohomology theory; e.g. we have a  $G$ -map  $\text{Res}^t_{\mathcal{H}}: H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q}) = H^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  (the only thing one has to remark for general cohomology is that the last arrow  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^t(\tilde{G}_m^t, \mathbb{Q}(t)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  in the definition of  $\text{Res}$  comes from canonical projection  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^t(\tilde{G}_m^t \times S, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{H}}^t(S, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \otimes \Lambda^t(t_1, \dots, t_t) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^t(S, \mathbb{Q}(*-t))$ ,

$t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_t \mapsto 1$ , where  $t_1 = p_1^*(t)$ ,  $t \in H^1(G_m, \mathbb{Q}(1))$  is the canonical element). Clearly we have commutative square of  $G$  maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_B^t} & H_B^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, A) \\ \uparrow r_B & & \uparrow \\ H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathcal{H}}^t} & H^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Clearly for  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$  we have  $\text{Res}_{\tilde{x}}^t(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha^t \beta^{-1} \text{Res}_{\tilde{x}}^t$ .

So if we define  $\mathcal{F}_A^t \subset H_B^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, A)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^t \subset H^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  to be the subspace of elements  $\varphi$ , s.t.  $\varphi(\tilde{x}(\alpha, \beta)) = \alpha^t \beta^{-1} \varphi(\tilde{x})$ , then the image of  $\text{Res}^t$  lies in this subspace. According to the end of 2.1.1 we may identify  $\mathcal{F}_A^t$  with the space of all locally-constant  $A$ -valued functions  $\varphi$  on  $G$  s.t. for any  $c \in A^f$ ,  $a, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $ad > 0$  we have  $\varphi(X \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) = a^{-1} d^{t+1} \varphi(X)$ , and  $\varphi(X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}) = \varphi(X)$ ;  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^t \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^t$  is the subspace of right  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^* \end{pmatrix}$ -invariant ones.

**2.1.3. Eisenstein series.** Let  $H^\pm := \mathbb{P}_{\text{Ran}}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$  be half-planes considered as analytic manifold over  $\mathbb{R}$  with standard coordinate  $z_0$ . The group  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $H^\pm$  from the right by formula  $z_0 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{az_0 + b}{bz_0 + d}$ . The (pro-analytic) manifold  $(M \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}}$  may be identified in a standard way with  $H^\pm \times G/GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ ; the canonical action of  $G$  on  $M$  coincides with obvious left-multiplication action. Also the semi-direct product  $GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$  acts on the product of  $H^\pm$  and affine line  $A^1$  by formula  $(z_0, z_1)(g, (a_1, a_2)) = (z_0 g, (bz_0 + d)^{-1} z_1 + a_1 z_0 g + a_2)$  and we have  $X \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\text{an}} = [(H^\pm \times A^1) \times (G \times V)]/GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \ltimes \mathbb{Q}^2$ ,  $(X^t \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}} = [(H^\pm \times A^t) \times (G \times V^t)]/GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Q}^2)^t$ .

Put  $\tilde{X}^t := [(H^\pm \times A^t) \times (G \times V^t)]/B(\mathbb{Q}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Q}^2)^t$  where  $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset GL_2$ , and let  $p^t: \tilde{X}^t \rightarrow (X^t \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}}$  be the projection. These are etale maps. The connected components of  $\tilde{X}^t$  are in 1-1 correspondence with  $M^\infty \otimes \mathbb{R}$ : the map  $p = p^0: \tilde{M} := \tilde{X}^0 \rightarrow (M \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}}$  identifies  $\tilde{M}$  with the disjoint

union of some punctured neighborhoods of parabolic points; for example the standard point  $x_0$  corresponds to the component  $(H^+ \times 1)$ .

The inverse image of  $w^t$  to  $H^+ \times G$  has canonical trivialization given by section  $\mathcal{X}^t := (2\pi i)^t dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_t$ , where  $z_i, i \geq 1$  are coordinates on  $A^t$ . Let  $w$  be an  $t+1$ -form on  $X^t$ , i.e., the section of the sheaf  $\Omega^1 \otimes w^t$  on  $M$ . If  $w \in \Omega^{t+1}(X^t \otimes \mathbb{R})$  has logarithmic singularities at infinity, then its inverse image to  $H^+ \times G$  equals to  $w(q) \cdot \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^t$ , where  $w(q) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Q}^*} f_a(w) q^a$ . Here  $\frac{dq}{q} := 2\pi i dz_0, q^a := e^{2\pi i a z}$  and  $f_a$  is certain  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function on  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $f_0(w)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_R^t$  and coincides with the residue of cohomology class of  $w$ .

Now for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_R^t$  consider the form  $w(g) \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^t$  on  $H^+ \times G$ . This form comes from the unique one, also denoted by  $w(\frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^t)$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . Put  $E^t(\varphi) := p_*(w(\frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^t))$  (we suppose that  $t > 0$ ); this is a well-defined section of  $\Omega^1 \otimes w^t$  on  $(M \otimes \mathbb{R})_{an}$ , since for  $t > 0$  the series in question converges absolutely. One knows that this  $(t+1)$ -form on  $X^t$  has logarithmic singularities at infinity; we will denote the same way by  $E^t(\varphi)$  its cohomology class. The map  $E^t: \mathcal{F}_R^t \rightarrow H_{DR}^{t+1}(X^t \otimes \mathbb{R}) = H_B^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{C})$  commutes with  $G$ -action and (by Manin-Drinfeld theorem) one has  $E^t(\mathcal{F}_A^t) \subset H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1))$ . More precisely, let  $\mathfrak{F}_A^t$  denote the intersection of  $H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1))$  with the  $(t+1)$ st-term of the Deligne Hodge filtration on  $H_{DR}^t(X^t \otimes \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_A^t \xrightarrow{E^t} \mathfrak{F}_A^t$  are mutually inverse  $G$ -isomorphisms.

**2.2. Eisenstein series in  $H$ -cohomology.** Note that the canonical exact sequence of  $G$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow H_B^t(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_R^t \rightarrow 0$  has a unique splitting  $S: \mathfrak{F}_R^t \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$ , since  $G$ -modules  $H_B^t(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t))$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_R^t$  have different weights. Put  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t := S \circ E^t: \mathcal{F}_R^t \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$ ; clearly this is unique right-inverse to  $\text{Res}_R^t$ . We will need an explicit formula for  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t$  in the explicit presentation of [2] (5.7.1) for  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$ .

Let  $C_i \in \mathbb{Q}, i = 0, \dots, t$ , be the numbers defined by induction:  $C_0 = (t+1)^{-1}, iC_{i-1} = (t-i+1)C_i$  for  $i > 0$ . Define the  $C^\infty$ -class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ -valued  $t$ -form  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^t$  on  $H^+ \times A^t$  by formula

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^t = -(2\pi i)^t \sum_{i,k=0}^t 2\pi C_i y_k \left( \sum (-) dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_k \wedge \dots \wedge dz_t \right)^{(i,t-i)}$$

Here  $y_k$  is imaginary part of  $z_k$ ,  $dz_i$  means either  $dz_i$  or its complex conjugate, the form under the brackets is the sum of all possible products of forms  $dz_i$  with  $dz_k$  missed.

For example  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 = (4\pi^2 i)[-y_0(\overline{dz}_1 - dz_1) + y_1(\overline{dz}_0 - dz_0)]$ . The direct computation shows that  $d\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^t = (\frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^t)^t$ .

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_R^t$  consider the unique  $C^\infty$ -class  $t$ -form on  $\tilde{X}^t$  such that its inverse image to  $(H^+ \times A^t) \times (G \times V^t)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cdot \varphi$ ; denote this form also by  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cdot \varphi$ . Define the form  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}^t(X, \mathbb{R}(t))$  by the formula  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi) := p_*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cdot \varphi)$ . Since the series converges absolutely, the definition is correct, and the above implies that  $dE_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi) = [E^t(\varphi)]^t$ . So  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi)$  defines an element (of the same notation) in  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$  (see [2] 5.7.1). Since the arrow defined by  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t: \mathcal{F}_R^t \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$  obviously commutes with  $G$ -action, it coincides with  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t$  from the beginning of the no.

**2.3. A product of Eisenstein series.** Denote by  $\mathcal{E}^t(\varphi)$  the section of  $w_{\infty}^t$  on  $M$  which is the  $(t,0)$  component of the form  $E_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi)$  along the fibers of  $\pi$ ; one has

$$\mathcal{E}^t(\varphi) = p_*(\varphi \cdot \frac{2\pi y_0}{t+1} \mathcal{X}) .$$

Let  $\alpha$  be any  $t+1$ -form on  $X^t$  with log-singularities at  $\infty$ . Consider  $(1,0)$ -form  $\alpha \cdot \mathcal{E}^t(\varphi)$  on  $M$  (see 2.1.0). Since  $\pi_*^1(dz_1 \wedge \overline{dz}_1) = \pi^{-1}y$ , the projection formula shows that

$$\alpha \cdot \mathcal{E}^t(\varphi) = p_*(2^{2t+1} \cdot \pi^{t+1} \cdot (t+1)^{-1} \cdot y^{t+1} \varphi \cdot \alpha(q) \frac{dq}{q}).$$

Now consider a form  $\pi_*(E_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\varphi_2)) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M, \mathbb{R}(t+2)) = H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$ . According to [2] (5.7.1) one has

$\pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2)) = (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) - (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}}$  - this is a closed 1-form on  $M$ .

**Lemma 2.3.1.** This form is the restriction to  $M$  of a certain closed current on  $\bar{M}$ .

**Proof:** Assume that we live on a certain  $K \setminus \bar{M}$ . Let  $x$  be a parabolic point,  $c_1, c_2$  be the values of  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  at  $x$ . Then, for a certain parameter  $q$  at  $x$ , one has  $E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) = (c_1 \frac{dq}{q} + \mathcal{E}_1)(c_2(\log|q|)^{t+1} + f_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is a 1-form holomorphic at  $x$ , and  $f_2$  is a certain continuous function s.t.  $f_2(x) = 0$ . So  $E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) = c_1 c_2 (\log|q|)^{t+1} \frac{dq}{q} + u_{12}$ , where  $u_{12}$  is an  $L^1$ -class form s.t.  $du$  is also of class  $L^1$ . This shows that  $E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2)$  is restriction to  $K \setminus M$  of the, same noted, current on  $\bar{M}$  defined as  $2c_1 c_2 (t+2)^{-1} (d(\log|q|)^{t+1})^{(1,0)} + u_{12}$ , where  $u_{12}, (\log|q|)^{t+1}$  are  $L^1$ -class currents on  $\bar{M}$ . Now  $(E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) - (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}}$  is either  $(u_{12} - u_{21})^{\overline{t+1}}$  if  $t$  is even, or  $2 \cdot (t+2)^{-1} \cdot c_1 c_2 d(\log|q|)^{t+2} + (u_{12} + u_{21})$  if  $t$  is odd. Clearly these are closed currents.  $\square$

Now consider  $E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1)$  as  $t$ -forms on  $X^t$ . We have the function  $[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] := \pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \wedge E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2))$  on  $M$ . One has

$$(2.3.2) \quad d[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = [E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) + (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_1)]^{\overline{t}}.$$

Moreover, clearly  $[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]$  has asymptotic  $c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot (\log|q|)^{t+1}$  at a parabolic point; so it defines  $L^1$ -class current on  $\bar{M}$  and 2.3.2 holds as equality between currents on  $\bar{M}$ . This fact implies the following lemma, that will be important for us in §4.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** For any holomorphic 1-form  $w$  on  $\bar{M}$  one has  $(w, \pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2))) = (-1)^{t+1} (w, E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2))$ .

**Proof:** If  $w_1, w_2$  are  $(1,0)$ -forms, then  $\bar{w}_1 \wedge w_2 = 2 \cdot (-1)^t w_1^{\overline{t}} \wedge w_2$  for any  $t$ . So by 2.3.1 we have  $w \wedge \pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2)) = w \wedge (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) + (-1)^{t+1} E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}} = -w \wedge (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) + (-1)^{t+1} E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t}} = -2w \wedge (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2))^{\overline{t}} + w \wedge d[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = (-1)^{t+1} w \wedge E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}^t(\varphi_2) + d(w \cdot [\varphi_1, \varphi_2])$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**2.4. A formula for regulator.** Note that since  $\dim X^t = t+1$  and  $M$  is not compact, the Leray spectral sequence shows that one has a commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_B^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(2t+1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{H}}^{2t+2}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(2t+2)) \\ \downarrow \pi_*^t & & \downarrow \pi_*^t \\ H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) \otimes \mathbb{V}^t & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{R}(t+2)) \otimes \mathbb{V}^t \end{array}$$

**Lemma 2.4.1.** For  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H_{\mathbb{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$  one has  $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2 = E_{\mathbb{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi_1 \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi_2$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\psi$  and  $E_{\mathbb{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi$  have the same residues it suffices to show that  $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2$  depends only on residues at  $\psi_1$ , or, equivalently, that  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi_1 = 0$  implies that  $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2 = 0$ . Since the map  $\text{Res}^t: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t$  is injective (cf. the end of 2.1.3) and  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t = \mathcal{E}(H_{\mathbb{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1)))$ , the kernel of residue map on this cohomology group coincides with  $\alpha(H_B^t(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t)))$ . Since one has  $\alpha(x) \cup y = \alpha(x \cup \mathcal{E}(y))$  (cf. [2] no 1), the needed fact would follow from the nullity of  $U$ -product pairing  $H^t(X^t, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow H^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R})(t+1)$ . The Leray spectral sequence of  $\pi^t$  reduces to two-step filtration on  $H^*(X^t)$ , compatible with  $U$ -product:  $H^{(1)} \subset H^*(X^t)$ ,  $H^{(0)} := H^*(X^t)/H^{(1)}$ . Since any  $t+1$ -form being restricted to the fibers is zero, one has  $\mathbb{H}^t \subset H^{t+1}(1)$  and so the  $U$ -product factors through  $H^{(0)} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow H^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R})(t+1)$ . Now the Hodge structure on  $H^t(X^t, \mathbb{R})^{(0)}$  is Tate's structure of weight  $t$ , the Hodge structure on  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t$  is the one of weight 0, and the Hodge structure on  $H^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R})(t+1) \xrightarrow{\pi_*^t} H^1(M, \mathbb{R})(1)$  has weights 0, -1. Since  $t \neq 0$ , the map  $H^{(0)} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow H^{2t+1}$  is zero.  $\square$

Now let us return to 1.2.

**Theorem 2.4.2.** a) One has  $H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (for  $t > 0$ ).

b) The subspace  $r_{\mathbb{H}}(H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}}) \subset H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$

is generated by elements  $\pi_*^t(E_H^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_H^t(\varphi_2)) = (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) - (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}}$ , where the  $\varphi_i$  run through  $\text{Res}_{H_X}^{t,t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$ .

Proof: Clearly the functoriality of  $r_H$  together with 2.4.1 imply that  $r_H \pi_*^t(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = \pi_*^t(r_H(\alpha) \cup r_H(\beta)) = \pi_*^t(E_H^t \text{Res}_{H_X}^t \alpha \cup E_H^t \text{Res}_{H_X}^t \beta)$ . To prove a) consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow H_X^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2)) & \rightarrow & H_X^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2)) & \rightarrow & H_X^1(M^\infty, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) & \rightarrow & H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) & \rightarrow & H_B^0(M^\infty, \mathbb{R}(t)) \end{array}$$

whose rows are localization sequences and columns are regulator maps. Since the right vertical arrow is injective by Borel's theorem, a) follows from the fact that  $\pi_*^t(E_H^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_H^t(\varphi_2)) \in H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$  (see 2.3.4), and Lemma 1.2.

This theorem proves Theorem 1.2 in case  $t > 0$ . □

### 3. EISENSTEIN SYMBOLS.

In this section we will show that residue maps  $\text{Res}_{H_X}^{t,t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^t$  are surjective for  $t > 0$  (the case  $t = 0$  is just Manin-Drinfeld theorem of §5). To do this we will construct the Eisenstein map  $E_H^t: \mathbb{Z}^t \rightarrow H_X^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$  right inverse to  $\text{Res}^t$  (and such that  $r_H E_H^t = E_H^t$ ).

3.1. Construction of Eisenstein symbols. For an abelian scheme  $A/S$  and  $L \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $[L] \in \text{End } A/S$  be the multiplication by  $L$  endomorphism,  $L_A := \text{Ker}[L]$  be the subscheme of order  $L$  points, and  $L(A) := A \setminus L_A$ .

Let  $U \subset X$  denote the complement of all finite order points. More precisely, for compact open  $W \subset V$  put  $W^U := \bigcap_L U(W \setminus X)$ : then  $U := \lim_{\leftarrow W} W^U \subset X$ . Clearly the action of  $G \ltimes V$  on  $X$  leaves  $U$  invariant, so we have the induced  $G \ltimes V$ -action on  $U$ . The scheme  $U$  is a projective limit of schemes

$L(KW \setminus X^t)$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  under the family of affine morphisms (so one has  $H_X^t(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \lim_{\leftarrow} H_X^t(U(K \setminus W \setminus X^t), \mathbb{Q}(*))$ ).

Consider the "abelian" scheme  $X^{t+1} := X \times_{\overline{M}} \dots \times X$  (a projective limit of abelian schemes under isogenies); let  $p_i: X^{t+1} \rightarrow X$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, t$  be the  $i$ -th projection. Define the "abelian" subscheme  $X^{t'} \subset X^{t+1}$  to be the kernel of  $P := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq t} p_i: X^{t+1} \rightarrow X$ , let  $p'_i := p_i|_{X^{t'}}$  (clearly  $X^{t'}$  is isomorphic to  $X^t$  via the isomorphism  $(p'_1, \dots, p'_t)$ ). Put  $U^{t+1} := U \times_{\overline{M}} \dots \times U$ ,  $U^{t'} := X^{t'} \cap U^t$ . On the schemes  $X^{t+1}$ ,  $U^{t+1}$  the following groups act:  $G$ , the permutation group  $\Sigma_{t+1}$  (permutations of  $p_i$ ), and  $V^{t+1}$ . The first two of them leave  $X^{t'}$  invariant; the stabilizer of  $X^{t'}$  in  $V^{t+1}$  is  $V^{t'} := \text{Ker}(P := \sum p_i: V^{t+1} \rightarrow V)$  in obvious notations. So one has the action of semi-direct product  $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t+1}$  on  $X^{t+1}$ ,  $U^{t+1}$ , and the one of  $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t'}$  on  $X^{t'}$  and  $U^{t'}$ .

Before going further, let me introduce some notations. If  $H$  is any group and  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $H$ -module, then  $\mathcal{M}_H := H_0(H, \mathcal{M})$  denotes the maximal factor space on which  $H$  acts trivially. So if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is any  $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t'}$ -module, then  $\mathfrak{g}_{V^{t'}}$  is naturally a  $G \times \Sigma_{t+1}$ -module. If  $\psi$  is any  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ -module and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\psi_a$  be the maximal factor-module of weight  $a$  of  $\psi$ , i.e., the maximal factor space s.t. an element  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  acts on  $\psi_a$  by multiplication on  $r^{-a}$ . If  $\psi$  is in fact  $G$ -module, then it is  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ -module by  $\mathbb{Q}^* \subset A^{f*} = \text{Center } G$ , and  $\psi_a$  is naturally a  $G$ -module. If  $\Delta$  is a  $\Sigma_{t+1}$ -module, let  $\Delta_{\text{sgn}}$  be the component of  $\Delta$  on which  $\Sigma_{t+1}$  acts by character  $\text{sgn}$  (this is canonically direct summand of  $\Delta$ ). We will combine these notations for  $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t'}$ -modules: e.g. such a module  $\mathfrak{g}$  defines  $G$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{a, \text{sgn}, V^{t'}}$ .

The following basic result will be proved in 3.2.

Theorem 3.1.1. a) The group  $V^{t'}$  acts on  $H_X^t(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  trivially.

b)  $G$ -modules  $H_X^t(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$  have weight  $t$ , i.e.,  $H_X^t(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_X^t(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{t, \text{sgn}}$ .

c) Consider the restriction map  $H_X^t(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \rightarrow H_X^t(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ .

The induced  $G$ -map  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^i(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^i(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{t, \text{sgn}, V^{t'}}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Now consider the  $G \ltimes V$ -module  $\mathcal{T} := H_{\mathcal{H}}^1(U, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \mathcal{O}^*(U) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . The space  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes t}$  is naturally a  $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t+1}$ -module: the group  $\Sigma_{t+1}$  acts by  $\sigma(f_0 \otimes \dots \otimes f_t) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) f_{\sigma^{-1}(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{\sigma^{-1}(t)}$ . Clearly the map

$\langle \sim \rangle: \mathcal{T}^{\otimes t+1} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$  given by the formula

$\langle f_0, \dots, f_t \rangle := \{p_0^*(f_0), \dots, p_t^*(f_t)\}$  is a  $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t+1}$ -morphism. It defines a  $G$ -morphism  $\langle \sim \rangle: \mathcal{T}^{\otimes t+1} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))_{t, \text{sgn}, V^{t'}}$   $\stackrel{3.1.1}{=} H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))_{\text{sgn}}$

$\subset H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$  which we call the Eisenstein symbol map. Our first aim is to compute explicitly the source of  $\langle \sim \rangle$ .

Again some simple technical notations and remarks. For a commutative  $p$ -adic type group  $W$  denote by  $\psi(W)$ , the space of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued Schwartz-Bruhat functions on  $W$  with obvious  $W$ -module structure, and let  $\mathfrak{z}(W) \subset \psi(W)$  be the submodule of functions of invariant integral zero. More precisely, one has an integration map  $\int: \psi(W) \rightarrow v_W$ , where  $v_W$  is the space dual to the (1-dimensional) space of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued invariant measures on  $W$ , and  $\mathfrak{z}(W) := \text{Ker } \int$ . We have the following easy

Lemma 3.1.2. a) The arrow  $\int$  identifies  $\mathfrak{z}(W)_W$  with  $v_W$ . One has  $\mathfrak{z}(W)_W = 0$ .

b) For  $t > 0$ , let  $P: W^{t+1} \rightarrow W$  be the sum of projections. Put  $W^{t'} := \text{Ker } P$ ; one has  $v_{W^{t'}} = v_{W^{t+1}} \otimes v_W^{-1} = v_W^{\otimes t}$ . The integration along the fibers map  $P_*: \psi(W)^{\otimes t+1} = \psi(W^{t+1}) \rightarrow \psi(W) \otimes v_W^{\otimes t}$  induces isomorphisms  $\psi(W)^{\otimes t+1}_{W^{t'}} \cong \psi(W) \otimes v_W^{\otimes t}$ ,  $\mathfrak{z}(W)^{\otimes t+1}_{W^{t'}} \cong \mathfrak{z}(W) \otimes v_W^{\otimes t}$ .  $\square$

We need the case  $W = V$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $v = v_V$  by the character  $|\det|$  (so  $v$  has weight 2), and 3.1.2 implies

Corollary 3.1.3. There is a natural  $G$ -isomorphism  $\mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes t+1}_{V^{t'}} = \mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes t+1}_{\text{sgn}, V^{t'}} \cong \mathfrak{z}(V) \otimes v^{\otimes t}$ .  $\square$

Since the divisors of degree zero supported on points of finite order of elliptic curves have finite order in  $\text{Pic}$ , the divisor map defines the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathfrak{z}(V) \rightarrow 0$  of  $G \ltimes V$ -modules where  $\mathcal{T}_0 := \mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . This defines a filtration of length  $t+2$  on  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes t+1}$  whose smallest subspace (the 0-th graded factor) is  $\mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1}$  and smallest factor-space (the  $t+2$ -one) is  $\mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes t+1}$ .

Lemma 3.1.4. The sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{V^{t'}}^{\otimes t+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes t+1}_{V^{t'}} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

Proof: Since the functor  $? \mapsto ?_{V^{t'}}$  is exact, it suffices to show that if  $L^i$  is the  $i$ -th graded factor of the above filtration and  $i \neq 0, t+2$ , then  $L^i_{V^{t'}} = 0$ . But  $L^i$  is the direct sum of modules of type  $\mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1-i}$ , and  $(\mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1-i})_{V^{t'}} = \mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes i}_{V^{t'}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1-i}$ . If  $i \neq t+1$  this equals  $\mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes i}_{V^{t'}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1-i}$ ; if  $i \neq 0$  this is zero by 3.1.2a).  $\square$

Lemma 3.1.5. The  $\text{sgn}$ -component of  $\langle \sim \rangle: \mathcal{T}^{\otimes t+1} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_0^{\otimes t+1}$ .

Proof: For  $f_1 \in \mathcal{T}_0$  one clearly has  $\langle f_0, \dots, f_t \rangle = \pi^* \{f_0, \dots, f_t\} \in \pi^* H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \subset H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$ . But the elements of  $\pi^* H_{\mathcal{H}}^i(M)$  are obviously invariant under  $\Sigma_{t+1}$ -action.  $\square$

Clearly 3.1.4 and 3.1.5 imply

Corollary 3.1.6. The Eisenstein symbol  $\langle \sim \rangle$  factors (uniquely) through  $\mathcal{T}_{t, \text{sgn}, V}^{\otimes t+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(V)^{\otimes t+1}_{t, \text{sgn}, V}$ .  $\square$

And now 3.1.3 together with 3.1.6 define the Eisenstein map  $E_{\mathcal{H}}^t: (\mathfrak{z}(V) \otimes v^{\otimes t})_{-t} = \mathfrak{z}(V)_t \otimes v^{\otimes t} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$ .

Finally recall the construction of horispherical isomorphism  $\tau: \mathfrak{z}(V)_{-t} \otimes v^{\otimes t} \cong \mathfrak{z}^t$ . First for any  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued Schwartz-Bruhat function  $g$  on  $\Lambda^t$  let  $L(g, s)$  denote the corresponding  $L$ -function (i.e.,  $L(g, s)$  is the analytic continuation of the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(n) \cdot n^{-s}$  that converges absolutely for  $\text{Re } s > 1$ ). One knows that if  $g$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued then  $L(g, -t-1) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and



$L(\cdot, -l-1): \psi(A^f)_{-l-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is an isomorphism (weight  $-l-1$ ) is taken with respect to the  $\mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ -action on  $\psi(A^f)$  s.t.  $(rg)(x) = g(r^{-1}x)$ . For a function  $f \in \mathfrak{f}(V)$  consider  $f_1 \in \mathfrak{f}(A^f)$  defined by the formula  $f_1(x) = \int f(x, y) dy$  ( $dy$  is standard invariant measure on  $A^f$ ); for  $g \in G$  put  $\tilde{\tau}(f)(g) := L((g^{-1}f)_1, -l-1)$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\tau}(f)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued function on  $G$  s.t.  $\tilde{\tau}(f)(g \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ * & d \end{pmatrix}) = \tilde{\tau}(f)(g) \cdot a^{-l-1} \cdot |d|^{-1}$  for any  $d \in A^{*f}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ . Define the desired map  $\tau: \mathfrak{f}(V)_{-l} \otimes \sqrt{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^l$  by the formula  $\tau(f) = \tilde{\tau}(f) \cdot |\det|^{-l}$ . It is easy to see that  $\tau$  is a  $G$ -isomorphism.

Put  $E^l := E^0 \tau^{-1} \mathfrak{F}^l \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{l+1}(X^l, \mathbb{Q}(l+1))$ ; here we identified  $X^l$  with  $X^l$  via  $(p_1^l, \dots, p_l^l)$ . We have the following theorem to be proved in 3.3.

Theorem 3.1.7. One has  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{M}}^l E_{\mathcal{M}}^l = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{F}^l}$ . □

Corollary 3.1.8.  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{M}}^l$  is surjective. □

Clearly 3.1.7 implies that  $r_{\mathcal{M}} E_{\mathcal{M}}^l = E_{\mathcal{M}}^l$  (see 2.2).

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1.1. First recall the standard motivic decompositions of elliptic curves. Let  $p: E \rightarrow S$  be an elliptic curve over some scheme  $S$ , and  $e: S \rightarrow E$  be zero section. Put  $R := H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(E \times_S E, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \text{Pic}(E \times_S E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Then multiplication of correspondences defines ring structure on  $R$ : if  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  then  $\alpha * \beta := P_{13*}(P_{12}^*(\alpha) \cdot P_{23}^*(\beta))$  where  $P_{ij}: E \times_S E \times_S E \rightarrow E \times_S E$  is projection on  $(i, j)$ -pair. The unit  $1$  for  $*$  is the class of diagonal. The transposition  $E \times_S E \rightarrow E \times_S E$  defines an involution  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^t$  of  $R$ : one has  $(\alpha * \beta)^t = \beta^t * \alpha^t$ . One has natural  $R$ -module structure on any  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ : if  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $\beta \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ , then  $\alpha * \beta := p_{1*}(\{\alpha, p_1^* \beta\})$ , where  $p_1: E \times E \rightarrow E$  is  $i$ -th projection. If  $f$  is any endomorphisms of the scheme  $E$  over  $S$ , then its graph  $\Gamma_f$  is an element of  $R$  and one has  $\Gamma_{f_1} \cdot f_2 = \Gamma_{f_1} * \Gamma_{f_2}$ ; if  $\alpha \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ , then  $\Gamma_f * \alpha = f_*(\alpha)$ ,  $t_{\Gamma_f} * \alpha = f^*(\alpha)$ .

Lemma 3.2.1. If  $a \in E(S)$  is a point of finite order on  $E$ , then the graph of translation by  $a$  is equivalent to  $1$  in  $R$ . So this translation acts trivially on any  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ . □

Now consider the elements  $P_0 := E \times e$ ,  $P_2 := e \times E = \Gamma_{e \circ p} = {}^t P_0$  and  $P_1 := 1 - P_0 - P_2$  of  $R$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$  one has  $P_0 * \alpha = P^* e^*(\alpha)$ ,  $P_2 * \alpha = e_* P_*(\alpha)$ ,  $P_1 * \alpha = \alpha - P^* e^* \alpha - e_* P_* \alpha$ . One has the following well known

Lemma 3.2.2. The elements  $P_i$  are mutually orthogonal projectors that define spectral decomposition of elements  $\Gamma[L] \in R$ . Namely one has  $P_i^2 = P_i$ ,  $P_i P_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\Gamma[L]^* P_i = P_i^* \Gamma[L] = L^{2-i} P_i$  for any  $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □

For any  $i = 0, 1, 2$  put  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)} := P_i H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ . By the lemma  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \oplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)}$  and  $[L]$  acts on  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(*)^{(i)}$  by multiplication by  $L^i$ .

Remark 3.2.3. Consider the tower  $E \xrightarrow{[N]} E$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of isogenies; put  $\tilde{E} := \varprojlim [N]^* E$ . Then, since any  $[N]^*$ :

$H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)}$  is an isomorphism, one has  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  and one has natural decomposition  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)}$  spectral for operators  $[L]^*$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{Q}$ . In 3.2.5-3.2.7 we will refer to such a scheme (e.g. to the scheme  $X$  over  $M$ ) as an elliptic curve without any commentaries.

Consider the localization sequence  $\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{j-2}(S, \mathbb{Q}(i-1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j({}_1U, \mathbb{Q}(i))$  where  ${}_1U := E \times (S) \hookrightarrow E$ . Since  $p_* e_* = \text{id}$  the arrow  $e_*$  is injective and  $j^*$  is surjective. More precisely, we have isomorphisms  $e_*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^{j-2}(S, \mathbb{Q}(i-1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))^{(2)}$ ,  $j^*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))^{(0)} \oplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))^{(1)} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j({}_1U, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ .

Now suppose that  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{O}_S$  and we are given a level  $N$  structure on  $E$ . Then  $[N]: E \rightarrow E$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ -Galois covering; consider the induced covering  $[N]: {}_N U(E) \rightarrow {}_1 U$ . Note that for any  $G$ -Galois covering  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  one has  $f^*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(Y, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(*))^G = H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(*))_G$  since  $K$ -theory modulo torsion has etale descent. By 3.2.1  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$  acts on  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  trivially and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) & \xrightarrow{j_N^*} & H_{\mathcal{M}}^j({}_N U, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \\ \uparrow [N]^* & & \uparrow \\ H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{\mathcal{M}}^j({}_1 U, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \end{array}$$

implies:

Lemma 3.2.4. The kernel of the restriction map  $j_N^* \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(N, U, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  is  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(2)}$ , and  $j_N^*$  induces the isomorphism  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(0)} \oplus H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(1)} \cong H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(N, U, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(Z/NZ)^2}$ .

3.2.5. Let us begin the proof of 3.1.1. First suppose that  $\ell = 1$ . Then  $X^1$  is an elliptic curve over  $M$  (cf. 3.2.3), and so 3.2.1 implies 3.1.1 a); 3.2.2 implies 3.2.1 b) since the action of transposition  $\sigma \in \Sigma_2$  coincides with  $[-1]$ ; finally 3.2.4 implies 3.1.1 c).

3.2.6. To treat the case  $\ell > 1$  note that the scheme  $X^\ell$  is naturally an elliptic curve over  $X^{\ell-1}$  via any of the projections  $q^j := (p_0, \dots, \hat{p}_j, \dots, p_{\ell-1}): X^\ell \rightarrow X^{\ell-1}$ . The finite order point translations for elliptic curve  $q_j$  correspond to the action of the elements of  $V^\ell$  whose components are all zero but  $j$ -th one. By 3.2.1 these elements act trivially on  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ , and so does the whole group  $V^\ell$ . It is easy to see that the projectors  $P_1^j$  on  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ , that correspond to different  $q^j$  by 3.2.2, mutually commute, and so we have decomposition  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \bigoplus_{(i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1})} H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1})}$  sum over all  $(i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1})$  s.t.  $0 \leq i_j \leq 2$ . For any  $\vec{L} = (L_0, \dots, L_{\ell-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^\ell$  define  $[\vec{L}] \in \text{End } X^\ell/M$  by the formula  $[\vec{L}](X_0, \dots, X_{\ell-1}) = ([L_0]X_0, \dots, [L_{\ell-1}]X_{\ell-1})$ , one has  $[L]_{X^\ell} = [(L, \dots, L)]$ . Since  $[\vec{L}] = \prod [L_j]_j$  where  $[L_j]_j$  is the  $[L_j]$ -endomorphism for elliptic curve  $q^j$ , the action of  $[\vec{L}]^*$  on  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1})}$  coincides with multiplication by  $\prod L_j^{i_j}$  and  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1})}$  is determined uniquely by this property.

3.2.7. Now consider the isomorphism  $I := (P_0, \dots, P_{\ell-1}): X^{\ell'} \rightarrow X^\ell$ . The action of  $V^{\ell'}$  on  $X^{\ell'}$  corresponds to the action of  $V^\ell$  on  $X^\ell$ , so the above proves 3.1.1 a). To prove 3.1.1 b) it suffices to show that  $T := I_*(H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}) \subset K' := H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(1, \dots, 1)}$ . This follows from two facts:  
a) Projection of  $T$  in  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(2, \dots, 2)}$  is zero  
and b) The  $\Sigma_{\ell+1}$ -subspace of  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ , generated by

$I^* H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(0, \dots, 0)}$  has zero sgn-component. In fact, by  $\Sigma_\ell$ -invariance, a) implies that  $T \subset K'' := \bigoplus_{(i_j)} H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_j)}$ , sum over all  $(i_j)$  s.t.  $i_j = 0, 1$ . In the same way, b) implies that  $T \cap K''' = 0$ , where  $K''' := \bigoplus_{(i_j)} H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_j)}$  sum over all  $(i_j)$  s.t.  $i_j = 0, 1$  and some  $i_j$  is 0. Note that  $K'' = K' \oplus K'''$  and for any  $L \in \mathbb{Q}$  the operator  $[L]^*$  acts on  $K'$  by multiplication by  $L^\ell$ , and has eigenvalues  $< L^\ell$  on  $K'''$ . Since  $T$  is  $[L]$ -invariant, this implies that  $T \subset K'$ . q.e.d.

Now to prove a) and b) consider the map  $s = q_0^* I = (p_1^*, \dots, p_{\ell-2}^*): X^{\ell'} \rightarrow X^{\ell-1}$ . This map is invariant under the transposition in  $\Sigma_{\ell+1}$  that permutes  $p_0^*$  and  $p_\ell^*$ . This implies that  $S_* H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}} = 0$  and that sgn-component of  $\Sigma_{\ell+1}$ -space, generated by  $S^* H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  is zero. Since the projection from a) is  $e_0^* s_*$ , and the subspace in b) is  $S^* H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ , these facts are proven.

3.2.8. It remains to prove 3.1.1 c). It suffices to show that  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^{\ell'}}$  decomposes under the action of operators  $[L]^*$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{Q}$  into the sum of eigenspaces with eigenvalues  $L^\ell, L^{\ell+1}, \dots, L^{2\ell-1}$ , and the  $L^\ell$ -eigenspace is just  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$  (in fact one may show that  $L^1$ -eigenspace is isomorphic to  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X^{2\ell-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$ ). We will do this using the induction by  $\ell$ ; for  $\ell = 1$  this was shown in 3.2.5. So suppose we know the fact for  $\ell' < \ell$ .

First note that  $I: X^{\ell'} \cong X^\ell$  identifies  $U^{\ell'}$  with  $U^\ell \cap P_\ell^{-1}(U)$ , where  $P_\ell: X^\ell \rightarrow X$  is the sum of projections. So the connected component  $P_\ell^{-1}(e)$  of  $U^\ell \setminus U^{\ell'}$  is  $U^{\ell-1}$ ;  $V^\ell$  acts on the connected components transitively with stabilizer  $V^{\ell'}$ . The scheme  $U^\ell \setminus U^{\ell'}$  is projective limit of schemes  $W \setminus (U^\ell \setminus U^{\ell'})$  of finite type over  $M$  ( $W \subset V^\ell$  is compact open). One has a canonical isomorphism  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(U^\ell \setminus U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{\ell-1}} = H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(U^{\ell-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{\ell-1}} \otimes \psi(V)$  (cf. 3.1.2) and so canonical  $G$ -isomorphism  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(U^\ell \setminus U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^\ell} = H_{\mathcal{A}}^*(U^{\ell-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{\ell-1}} \otimes v$ . Now consider the localization sequence of the pair  $(U^\ell, U^{\ell'})$ . One has a corresponding exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^l} &\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{l'}} \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^{*-1}(U^l, U^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{V^l} &= H_{\mathcal{H}}^{*-1}(U^{l-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{V^{l-1}} \otimes v. \end{aligned}$$

This is a sequence of  $\Sigma_l$ -modules, so we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^l} &\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^{l'}} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{\mathcal{H}}^{*-1}(U^{l-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{\text{sgn}, V^{l-1}} &\otimes v \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{sgn}$  is the  $\text{sgn}$ -character of  $\Sigma_l$ . Using 3.2.4 the same way as in 3.2.6 one sees that  $j^*: H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(X^l, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^1(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  is epimorphic, so any element of  $\text{Ker } \delta$  came from  $X^{l'}$ .

Moreover  $j^*$  induces an isomorphism  $\oplus H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(X^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{(i_j)} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ , sum over all  $(i_j)$  s.t.  $i_j = 0, 1$ . In particular,  $[L]^*$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{Q}$ , acts on  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))$  with eigenvalues  $1, L, \dots, L^l$  and  $j^*$  is injective on  $L_* H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(X^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$  by 3.2.7. But the induction hypothesis says that  $[L]^*$  acts on  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^{*-1}(U^{l-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{\text{sgn}, V^{l-1}} \otimes v$  with eigenvalues  $L^{l+1}, \dots, L^{2l-1}$ . So the long exact sequence splits into short ones,  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^l} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^{l'}}$  is injective, and so  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(X^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^{l'}}$  is injective.

Since  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(X^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}} = H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^l} \cap H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^{l'}}$  (as  $\text{sgn}$  is exact functor) the eigenvalues of  $[L]^*$  on  $H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(U^l, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^l} / H_{\mathcal{H}}^*(X^{l'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$  are  $L^{l+1}, \dots, L^{2l-1}$ . This together with 3.1.1 b) proves the induction hypothesis for  $l$ .

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1.7.** Let us reformulate a little the statement of the theorem using the Fourier transform instead of  $\tau$ . For a Schwartz-Bruhat function  $\alpha$  on  $A^f$  put  $\hat{\alpha}(x) := \int \alpha(y) \bar{\psi}(xy) dy$ , where  $\psi: A^f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is the standard additive character:  $\psi(y) = \exp(2\pi i y)$  for  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ ; for a function  $\phi$  on  $V := A^{f2}$  put  $\hat{\phi}(x) := \int \phi(y) \bar{\psi}(\langle x, y \rangle) dy$  where  $\langle x, y \rangle := x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2$  and  $dy$  is the standard measure. Recall that the functional equation relates  $L(\alpha, s)$  with  $L(\hat{\alpha}, 1-s)$ ; we need the case  $s = -l-1$ : for any  $\alpha \in \psi(A^f)$  s.t.  $\alpha(-x) = (-1)^l \alpha(x)$  one has

$L(\alpha, -l-1) = 2(-2\pi i)^{-l-2} (l+1)! L(\hat{\alpha}, l+2)$ ; if  $\alpha(-x) = (-1)^{l-1} \alpha(x)$  then  $L(\alpha, -l-1) = 0$ . Now consider  $G$ -map  $\tilde{E}^l: \mathbb{P}(V)_{(-l)} \otimes V^l \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$  s.t. for  $(z, g) \in H^+ \times G$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^l(\phi)(z, g) &= 2(-2\pi i)^{-l-2} (l+1)! \left( \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\hat{\phi}(g^{-1}(n_1, n_2))}{(n_1 + n_2)^{l+2}} \right) \frac{dg}{q} \wedge \mathcal{H}^l \\ &\quad (\text{cf. 2.1.3}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly one has  $\tilde{E}^l(\phi) = E^l(\tau\phi)$ , so to prove the theorem it suffices to show that  $r_B \tilde{E}^l(\phi) = \tilde{E}^l(\phi)$  for any  $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(V) \otimes V^{\otimes l}$ . To do this we will compute, after some preliminaries in no. 3.3.1-3.3.2, the left hand side explicitly.

**3.3.1.** For notations cf. the beginning of §2. Let  $Z$  be a smooth variety and  $i: \mathcal{D} = \cup \mathcal{D}_j \subset Z$  be a divisor. If a holomorphic form  $\omega$  on  $Z \setminus \mathcal{D}$  has log-singularities along  $\mathcal{D}$  then  $\omega$  is locally of class  $L^1$  on  $Z$ . So  $\omega$  defines  $L^1$ -class current  ${}^c\omega$  or simply  $\omega$  on  $Z$ . Note that this inclusion  $\Omega_Z^l(\log \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow C_Z \otimes \mathbb{C}[-2 \dim Z]$  does not commute with differentials. For example, if  $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(Z \setminus \mathcal{D})$ , then  $d({}^c d \log f) = \delta \text{div } f$  where for any divisor  $\mathcal{D}'$  we put  $\delta_{\mathcal{D}'} :=$  fundamental class of  $\mathcal{D}'$  considered as current on  $Z$ .

Now let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow T$  be a smooth proper map of relative dimension  $n$  s.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  is transversal to the fibers of  $\pi$ . If  $v \in \mathcal{E}^*(Z)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega_Z^l(\log \mathcal{D})$  then the current  $\pi_*(\omega \wedge v)$  is of class  $C^\infty$  and we may compute its value at any point  $t \in T$  integrating  $(\omega \wedge v)^\#$  along  $\pi^{-1}(t)$ .

**3.3.2.** Let us return to the modular curve. We will write  $X$  instead of  $X \otimes \mathbb{C}$  (where  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\zeta_n \mapsto \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ ) and so on, for short. Clearly  $X/M$  considered as  $C^\infty$ -class group variety over  $M$ , has a canonical integrable connection. In particular for any holomorphic 1-form  $v \in \Omega^1(X/M) = \omega(M)$  one has canonical  $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(X)$  s.t.  $\tilde{v}^\# = v$ . One has  $(p_1 + p_2)^*(\tilde{v}) = p_1^*(\tilde{v}) + p_2^*(\tilde{v})$  (here  $p_i: X \times_M X \rightarrow X$  are projections), and  $\tilde{v}$  is uniquely determined by this property.

From now on fix such  $v$  non-zero at any point (we may work locally on  $M$ ; e.g. we may pass to  $H^+ \times G$ ). Put  $\omega := \pi_*(v \wedge d\tilde{v})$ .

This is holomorphic 1-form on  $M$  (the Kodaira-Spencer transform of  $v$ ), non-zero at any point. So for any  $(1,0)$ -form  $\omega$  on  $X$  one has  $\omega = \alpha \tilde{v} + \beta \bar{\omega}$  for some functions  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}^0(X)$ . Let  $\nu := \pi_*(v \wedge \bar{v}) \in \mathcal{E}^0(M)$  be the volume of the fibers function; one has  $(v \wedge \bar{v})^\# = \nu^{-1} \omega \wedge \bar{v}$ .

Consider the local system  $\Gamma := R^1 \pi_*(\mathbb{Q}(1))$  on  $M$ . Our form  $v$  defines the embedding  $v: \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto v(\gamma) := \pi_*(v \wedge \gamma)$  and  $X = \mathbb{C} \times M/\Gamma$ . Now note that  $\Gamma$  coincides with the local system of characters of the fibers of  $\pi$ , since for any elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , viewed as topological group, one has  $(\text{characters of } E) := \text{Hom}(E, S^1) = H^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  denote by  $\chi_\gamma$  the corresponding character; the differential of  $\chi_\gamma$  along the fibers is  $\nu^{-1}(v(\gamma)\bar{v} - \bar{v}(\gamma)v) \cdot \chi_\gamma$ .

For any current  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}(X)$  define the  $\Gamma^*$ -valued current  $\hat{\alpha}$  on  $M$  - Fourier transform of  $\alpha$  - by the formula  $\hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = \pi_*(\alpha \chi_\gamma)$ . Also if  $\omega$  is a function on  $X$  put  $\hat{\omega} := \nu^{-1} \widehat{\omega \wedge v \wedge \bar{v}}$ . Clearly one has  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \hat{\omega}(\gamma) = \nu^{-1} v(\gamma) \hat{\omega}(\gamma)$ ; if  $\omega$  is continuous, then  $e^*(\omega) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{\omega}(\gamma)$ .

This Fourier transform is related with the one on  $V$  as follows. The functions on  $V$  are divisors on  $X$  supported on  $X \setminus U$ ; so one has the map  $\delta: \psi(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-2}(X, \mathbb{R}(-1))$  (cf. 3.3.1). We have  $\hat{\delta}_E = \hat{E}|_\Gamma$ .

Now consider some  $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(U)$ ; put  $d \log f = \alpha \tilde{v} + \beta \bar{\omega}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.2.** One has  $\hat{\alpha}(0) = 0$ ; if  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then  $\hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = -v(\gamma)^{-1} \text{div } f(\gamma)$ ,  $\hat{\beta}(\gamma) = v(\gamma)^{-2} \text{div } f(\gamma)$ .

**Proof:** Since the current  $d \log f + \bar{d} \log \bar{f}$  is the differential of  $L^1$ -class current  $2 \log |f|$ , one has  $\hat{\alpha}(0) = \nu^{-1} \pi_*(d \log f \wedge \bar{v}) = 2 \nu^{-1} \pi_*(d \log |f| \wedge \bar{v}) = 2 \nu^{-1} \pi_*(d(\log |f| \bar{v})) = 0$ . Now we have  $\delta^\#_{\text{div } f} = d(\mathbb{C} d \log f)^\# = (d\alpha \wedge \tilde{v})^\# = -\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \bar{v}} v \wedge \bar{v}$ , and so  $\widehat{\text{div } f}(\gamma) = -\nu \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \bar{v}}(\gamma) = -v(\gamma) \hat{\alpha}(\gamma)$ . To prove the formula for  $\hat{\beta}$ , note that  $0 = (\tilde{v} \wedge d(d \log f))^\# = (\alpha \tilde{v} \wedge d\tilde{v} + \tilde{v} \wedge d\beta \wedge \bar{\omega})^\# = (\alpha \nu^{-1} + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \bar{v}}) \omega \wedge v \wedge \bar{v}$ . So  $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \bar{v}} = -\alpha \nu^{-1}$  and  $\frac{\partial \hat{\beta}}{\partial \bar{v}}(\gamma) = \nu^{-1} v(\gamma) \hat{\beta}(\gamma) = -\nu^{-1} \hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = v(\gamma)^{-2} \widehat{\text{div } f}(\gamma)$ .  $\square$

**3.3.3.** Now we may begin to prove Theorem 3.1.7. Put  $v^{\ell'} := p_1^{*\ell'}(v) \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\ell'}(v) \in \Omega^{\ell'}(X^{\ell'})/M$  and consider the arrow

$q: F^{\ell+1}_{H_{DR}}(U^{\ell'}) = \{\ell+1\text{-forms with log-singularities at } \infty \text{ on } U^{\ell'}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(M)$ ,  $q(\omega) = \pi_*^{\ell'}(\omega \wedge \bar{v}^{\ell'})$  (cf. 3.1.1). If  $\omega \in F^{\ell+1}_{H_{DR}}(X^{\ell'})$  then clearly one has

$\omega = (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} q(\omega) \wedge v^{\ell'}$ . The arrow  $q$  obviously factors through  $F^{\ell+1}_{H_{DR}}(U^{\ell'})_{\ell, \text{sgn}, v^{\ell'}}$ , and so the composition of  $q$  with  $d \log = r_{DR}: H^{\ell+1}_{\mathcal{H}}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) \rightarrow F^{\ell+1}_{H_{DR}}(U^{\ell'})$  factors through  $H^{\ell+1}_{\mathcal{H}}(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))_{\ell, \text{sgn}, v^{\ell'}}$ . The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^{\ell+1}_{\mathcal{H}}(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) & & & & F^{\ell+1}_{H_{DR}}(X^{\ell'}) \\
 \downarrow 3.1 \downarrow & \nearrow S & & \downarrow & \searrow \\
 H^{\ell+1}_{\mathcal{H}}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) & & & F^{\ell+1}_{H_{DR}}(U^{\ell'}) & \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(M) \\
 \downarrow \ell, \text{sgn}, v^{\ell'} & \xrightarrow{d \log} & & \downarrow \ell, \text{sgn}, v^{\ell'} & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

implies that for any  $\delta \in H^{\ell+1}_{\mathcal{H}}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(\delta) &= (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} q(d \log \delta) \wedge v^{\ell'}. \text{ For example, for any } f_0, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathcal{O}^*(U) \text{ one has } d \log \langle f_0, \dots, f_\ell \rangle \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} \pi_*^{\ell'}(d \log \langle f_0, \dots, f_\ell \rangle \wedge \bar{v}^{\ell'}) \wedge v^{\ell'} \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} \pi_*^{\ell'}(\Lambda' d \log f_1 \wedge \bar{v}^{\ell'}) \wedge v^{\ell'}, \text{ where } \Lambda' d \log f_1 \\
 &:= p_0^{*\ell'} d \log f_0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\ell'} d \log f_\ell. \text{ To prove 3.1.7 it remains to compute this form in terms of } \text{div } f_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

**3.3.4.** Consider the sum of projections map  $P: X^{\ell+1} \rightarrow X$ ;  $X^{\ell'} := \text{Ker } P$ . Put  $\Lambda d \log f_1 := p_0^{*\ell'} d \log f_0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\ell'} d \log f_\ell \in \Omega^{\ell+1}(U^{\ell+1})$ ,  $\tilde{v}^{\ell+1} := p_0^{*\ell'}(\tilde{v}) \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\ell'}(\tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{E}^{(\ell+1,0)}(X^{\ell'})$ ,  $\xi := \Lambda d \log f_1 \wedge p^{*\ell'}(\tilde{v}) \wedge \tilde{v}^{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{E}^{(\ell+2, \ell+1)}(X^{\ell'})$ . By 3.3.1,  $\Lambda d \log f_1$  and  $\xi$  are currents on  $X^{\ell'}$  and  $P_*(\xi) \in \mathcal{E}^{(2,1)}(X)$ . The formula  $P_*(\xi) = \eta \wedge v \wedge \bar{v}$  defines a certain form

$\eta \in \text{Ker}(\Omega^{(1,0)}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(X/M))$ . By 3.2.1 we have  $\pi_*^t (\wedge d \log f_1 \wedge \overline{v}^t) = e^*(\eta)$  (where  $e: M \rightarrow X$  is the zero section), and so, by 3.3.3,  $d \log \langle f_0, \dots, f_t \rangle = \frac{t(t-1)}{2} v^{-t} e^*(\eta) \wedge \overline{v}^t$ .

3.3.5. Let us compute  $e^*(\eta)$ . Consider  $P: X^{t+1} \rightarrow X$  as a map of topological tori. One has  $\text{Char}(X^{t+1}) = \Gamma^{t+1}$  and  $P^*: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{t+1}$  is the diagonal map. Define the Fourier transform for currents and functions on  $X^{t+1}$  the same way as in 3.3.2, using  $(-1)^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} v^{-t-1} \wedge \overline{v}^{t+1}$  instead of  $v^{-1} \wedge \overline{v}$ .

Since  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(X)$ , one has  $e^*(\eta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\eta}(\gamma)$   
 $= v^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{P_*}(\xi)(\gamma) = v^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\xi^*}(P^*(\gamma))$ . Let  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  correspond to  $f_i$  as in Lemma 3.3.2; then  
 $\xi = (-1)^t \sum_{\alpha_i \leq t} P_i^*(\beta_i) \prod P_j^*(\alpha_j) \omega \wedge v^{t+1} \wedge \overline{v}^{t+1}$ . We have  
 $\widehat{\xi^*}(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_t) = (-1)^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \cdot v^{t+1} \sum_{\alpha_i \leq t} \widehat{\beta}_i(\gamma_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \widehat{\alpha}_j(\gamma_j) \cdot \omega$ , and so, by 3.3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} e^*(\eta) &= (-1)^{\frac{t(t-1)}{2}} v^{-t} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\alpha_i \leq t} \beta_i(\gamma) \prod_{j \neq i} \alpha_j(\gamma) \cdot \omega \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \cdot v^t (t+1) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\prod_{i=1}^t \widehat{\text{div } f_i})(\gamma) \cdot v(\gamma)^{-t-2} \cdot \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\prod (\widehat{\text{div } f_i})(\gamma) = \widehat{P_*}(\otimes \text{div } f_i)(\gamma)$ ; here

$\otimes \text{div } f_i \in \mathfrak{F}(V^{t+1})$ . We may combine this with 3.3.4 and the definition of  $\widetilde{E}_\chi$  to see that for any  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$  one has  $d \log \widetilde{E}_\chi(\varphi) = (-1)^t (t+1) (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \cdot v(\gamma)^{-t-2}) \omega \wedge \overline{v}^t$ .

This series coincides with  $\widetilde{E}^t(\varphi)$  from the beginning of 3.3. To see this, choose  $v = dz_1$  on  $H \times G$ . Then  $\omega = (-2\pi i)^{-1} dz_0$ , and you get the desired formula.

#### 4. CODA: THE VALUES OF L-FUNCTIONS.

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 for  $t > 1$ .

4.1. Preliminaries on  $\epsilon$ -factors, and periods. Suppose we are given two elements  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ; say that  $a_1$  is equivalent to  $a_2$ ,  $a_1 \sim a_2$  if  $a_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^* a_2$ . If  $U$  is any non-zero  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -vector space, then  $a_1 \sim a_2 \iff a_1 U = a_2 U \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes U$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  the group of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ -valued Dirichlet characters; for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  denote by  $\mathcal{X}^t \subset \mathcal{X}$  the set of characters of the same parity as  $t$ . For  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  (cf. 1.1.3) let  $\theta(V): \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  be its central character; one has  $\theta(V) \in \mathcal{X}^0$ . For  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  denote by  $\chi \cdot V$  the twisted representation  $\chi(\det) \otimes V$ ; one has  $\theta(\chi \cdot V) = \chi^2 \cdot \theta(V)$ . Denote by  $\epsilon(V, S), \epsilon(\chi, S) \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$  the  $\epsilon$ -factors in functional equations.

Lemma 4.1.1 ([3] (5.5)). Equivalence classes of  $\epsilon(\chi, n), \epsilon(V, n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , do not depend on  $n$ ; denote them by  $\epsilon(\chi), \epsilon(V)$ . One has  $\epsilon(V) \sim \epsilon(\theta(V))$ ,  $\epsilon(\chi_1 \cdot \chi_2) \sim \epsilon(\chi_1) \cdot \epsilon(\chi_2)$ .

Proof: For  $a \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$  define the function  $\varphi_a: \text{Aut } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$  by formula  $\varphi_a(g) = g(a)a^{-1}$ . Clearly one has  $a_1 \sim a_2 \iff \varphi_{a_1} = \varphi_{a_2}$ . So the lemma is implied by the fact that  $\varphi_{\epsilon(\chi, n)}(g) = \chi(\sigma(g))$ ,  $\varphi_{\epsilon(V, n)} = \theta(V)(\sigma(g))$  for  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^t$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{R}$ . Here  $\sigma: \text{Aut } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^*$  is the character of the action on the roots of unity. Decompose  $\epsilon$ 's into the product of local ones:  $\epsilon(\chi) \sim \sqrt{-1}^t \prod \epsilon_p(\chi_p, \psi_p)$ ,  $\epsilon(V) \sim \prod \epsilon_p(V_p, \psi_p)$ . The above identities follow from the fact that  $\epsilon_p(\chi_p, \psi_p(bx)) = \chi_p(b) \epsilon_p(\chi_p, \psi_p(x))$ ,  $\epsilon_p(V_p, \psi_p(bx)) = \theta_p(V)(b) \epsilon_p(V_p, \psi_p(x))$  for  $b \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^*$ , since the values of  $\epsilon_p$ -functions at integers are defined in a purely algebraical way and so  $g \epsilon_p(?, \psi(x)) = \epsilon_p(?, \psi(\sigma_p(g)x))$ . □

Since  $L(\chi, 1-t) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^t$ ; the functional equations imply

Lemma 4.1.2. a) For  $t > 0$  and  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^t$  one has  $L(\chi, t+2) \sim \epsilon(\chi) (2\pi i)^{t+2}$ .

b) For  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$  one has  $L(V, t+2) \sim \epsilon(V) \pi^{2t+2} \iota(V^*, -t)$  (cf. 1.1.3). □

For  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  say that  $a \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$  is an  $\ell$ -twisted period of  $V$  if  $\Omega^1(M_V) = aH_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(-\ell))$  under the period isomorphism  $\Omega^1(M_V) \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(-\ell))$ . The equivalence class  $\mathcal{L}_\ell(V)$  of such  $a$ 's is well-defined.

Lemma 4.1.3. a) One has  $L(V, 1) \cdot H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \Omega^1(M_V)$ .

b) For any  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  one may find even  $\chi^+$  and odd  $\chi^-$  such that both  $L(V \cdot \chi^\pm, 1)$  are invertible; so in this case  $L(V \cdot \chi^\pm, 1) \sim \mathcal{L}_0(V \cdot \chi^\pm)$ .

c) Let  $\chi$  be the Dirichlet character of the same parity as  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for any  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  one has  $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}(V \cdot \chi) \sim (\pi\sqrt{-1})^i \epsilon(\chi) \mathcal{L}_j(V)$ .

Proof: This lemma is well known: a) follows from Manin-Drinfeld, b) follows from surjectivity of Birch-Manin symbol map. To see c), decompose the zero component of  $M$ 's motive  $[\overline{M}]^0$  (= motive of cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ ) by the characters of  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{A}^{f*}/\mathbb{Q}^{*+} : [\overline{M}]^0 = \bigoplus [\chi]$ . The group  $G(\mathbb{A}^f)$  acts on  $[\chi]$  by  $\chi^{-1}(\det)$ . So the canonical pairing  $[\overline{M}]^0 \otimes [\overline{M}]^1 \rightarrow [\overline{M}]^1$  defines the isomorphism  $[\chi] \otimes M_V \simeq M_{V \otimes \chi(\det)}$ . If  $i$  has the same parity as  $\chi$ , then  $H_B^0([\chi], \mathbb{Q}(-i))$  and  $H_{DR}^0([\chi])$  are 1-dimensional  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -spaces and one has  $H_{DR}^0([\chi]) = \epsilon(\chi) \cdot (\pi\sqrt{-1})^i \cdot H_B^0([\chi], \mathbb{Q}(-i))$  (cf. [3] (6.5)). This, together with the (trivial) Kunneth formula proves c).  $\square$

Corollary 4.1.4. Let  $V \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^\ell$  one has  $L(\chi \cdot V, 1) \in \epsilon(\chi)(\pi i)^{-\ell} \mathcal{L}_\ell(V) \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . One may find  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^\ell$  s.t.  $L(\chi \cdot V, 1)$  is invertible. In this case  $L(\chi \cdot V, 1) \sim \epsilon(\chi)(\pi i)^{-\ell} \mathcal{L}_\ell(V)$ .  $\square$

4.2. The use of Poincare duality. Let us reformulate 1.3. Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(-\ell)) \otimes H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  the Poincare duality pairing:  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \text{Tr}(\alpha \vee \beta)$  (cf. 2.10). Consider our space  $P_\ell = \bigoplus_{V \in \mathcal{R}} V \otimes P_{\ell V} \subset H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Since  $\dim H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) = 1$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\ell V} &= \langle P_\ell, H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(-\ell)) \rangle_{H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))} \\ &= \langle P_\ell, \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_\ell(V)}^{-1} H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)). \end{aligned}$$

By 3.1, 2.4.2 and 2.3.3, we know that  $\langle P_\ell, \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \rangle_V \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the space generated by Petersson scalar products  $(\omega, E^\ell(\varphi_1) \cdot \overline{E}^\ell(\varphi_2))$ , where  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\overline{M})_V$  and  $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{X}^\ell$ . Note that  $\mathcal{X}^\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}^\ell} E_\chi$ , where  $E_\chi$  is an irreducible representation s.t.  $L(E_\chi, s) = L(\chi, s-\ell-1) \cdot L(1, s)$ . So 4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.4 imply that 1.3 follows from

(4.2.1) For any irreducible  $V \subset \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^\ell$  the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space generated by scalar products  $(\omega, E^\ell(e) \cdot \overline{E}^\ell(\varphi)) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\omega \in V, e \in E_\chi, \varphi \in \mathcal{X}^\ell$ , coincides with  $\frac{L(V \cdot \chi, 1) \cdot L(V, \ell+2)}{L(\chi \cdot \theta(V), \ell+2)} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

This statement follows immediately from Rankin's trick. In the next section I will recall briefly the basic points we need; for details see e.g. [4].

4.3. Rankin's trick. First we need some facts about  $q$ -expansions and Mellin transforms. Let  $\psi: \mathbb{A}^f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be the character of  $\mathbb{A}^f$  s.t.  $\psi(t) = \exp(-2\pi i t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\psi_p: \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a local component of  $\psi$ . A Whittaker (or simply  $W$ -) function on  $G$  is a continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ - or  $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued function  $f$  on  $G$  s.t.  $f(g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \psi(u)f(g)$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{A}^f$ ; similarly one defines  $W$ -functions on  $G_p := GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Say that a  $W$ -function on  $G$  is rational if for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{C}$  one has  $\sigma(f(g)) = f(g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta(\sigma) & -1 \end{pmatrix})$ ; and the same for  $W$ -functions on  $G_p$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a  $\ell+1$ -form on  $X^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}$  with log-singularities at  $\infty$ . Its inverse image to  $H^+ \times G$  is  $\omega(q) \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^\ell$ , where  $\omega(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha > 0} f_{\alpha, \omega}(g) \cdot q^\alpha$  (see 2.1.3). Put  $W(\omega) := f_{1, \omega}$ . Then  $W(\omega)$  is a  $W$ -function; if  $\omega \in \Omega^{\ell+1}(X^\ell)$ , then  $W(\omega)$  is rational; if  $\omega$  is parabolic, then

$$(4.3.1) \quad \omega(q) \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathcal{X}^\ell = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha > 0} [W(\omega) dq \wedge \mathcal{X}^\ell] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $E = \bigoplus E_p \subset \Omega^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \log \infty) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an irreducible  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation. Then  $W(E) = \bigoplus W(E_p)$ , where  $W(E_p)$  are certain spaces of rational  $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued  $W$ -functions on  $G_p$ ; this means that  $W(E)$  is the space of linear combinations of functions  $f(g) = \prod f_p(g_p)$  where  $f_p \in W(E_p)$  and for almost all  $p, f_p$  is

the spherical function, i.e., (unique)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant function s.t.  $f_p(1) = 1$ . For  $e \in E$  consider the function  $K(e)$  on  $A^{f*}$  given by the formula  $K(e)(a) := W(e) \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$ ; this function is compactly supported in  $A^f$  and rational in the sense that  $\sigma K(e)(a) = K(e)(a \cdot \theta(\sigma))$  for  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{C}$ . Similarly one defines rational functions  $K(e_p)$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^*$  for  $e_p \in E_p$ . Put

$$L(e_p, s) := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} K(e_p)(a) |a|^{s-l-1} d^*a \\ = \Sigma \left( \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} K(e_p)(p^n a) d^*a \right) \cdot p^{-n(s-l-1)}$$

(here  $d^*a$  is the standard invariant measure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^*$ ). This formal series is a rational function of parameter  $p^{-s}$  and the set  $\{L(e_p, s), e_p \in E_p\}$  coincides with  $\mathbb{Q}[p^{-s}, p^s] \cdot L(E_p, s)$ , where  $L(E_p, s)$  is the L-factor of  $E_p$ . The Euler product  $L(E, s) = \prod L(E_p, s)$  converges for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{l+3}{2}$ ; it prolongs holomorphically to any  $s$  and satisfies the functional equation for  $s \leftrightarrow l+q-s$ .

Now let  $V = \otimes_p V_p \subset \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an irreducible parabolic representation; we may apply the above to it. For  $w_p \in V_p$ ,  $e_p \in E_p$  put  $[w_p, e_p] := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} K(w_p) \cdot K(e_p) \cdot |a|^{s-l-2} d^*a$ ; again this series is a rational function of the parameter  $p^{-s}$ , and for a certain L-factor  $L(V_p, E_p, s)$  with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space, generated by  $[w_p, e_p]_s$ ,  $w_p \in V_p$ ,  $e_p \in E_p$ , is  $\mathbb{Q}[p^{-s}, p^s] \cdot L(V_p, E_p, s)$ . If both  $w_p$  and  $e_p$  are spherical functions, then  $[w_p, e_p]_s = L(V_p, E_p, s) \cdot L(\theta(V_p) \cdot \theta(E_p), -l-2)^{-1}$ . The Euler product  $L(V, E, s) = \prod L(V_p, E_p, s)$  converges for  $\text{Re } s > l+3$  and prolongs holomorphically to any  $s$  (if  $l = 0$  this is valid for  $E \neq V^*$ ). Consider the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear function  $[ , ]_s : V \otimes E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined by  $[\otimes w_p, \otimes e_p]_s = \prod [w_p, e_p]_s$  for  $\text{Re } s > l+3$ ; for arbitrary  $s$  this function is meromorphic, it is holomorphic for  $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{l+3}{2}$ . Since local factors  $L(\theta(V_p) \cdot \theta(E_p), 2s-l-2)^{-1}$  have no zeros for  $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{l+2}{2}$  and take values in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  at integers, the above shows that

(4.3.2) for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq \frac{l+3}{2}$  the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space generated by  $[w, e]_n$ ,  $w \in V$ ,  $e \in E$ , is  $L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-l-2)^{-1} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Let us reformulate (4.3.2) a bit. Denote by  $\mathfrak{B}_{s, l}$  the space of  $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued continuous functions  $\varphi$  on  $G$  s.t.  $\varphi(g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) = \varphi(g) \cdot |a/d|_f^s \cdot a^l$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ ,  $c \in A^f$ ,  $d \in A^{f*}$ ; if  $s$  is integral let  $\mathfrak{B}_{s, l}^0 \subset \mathfrak{B}_{s, l}$  be the subspace of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued ones; clearly  $\mathfrak{B}_{l+1, l}^0 = \mathbb{F}^l \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Fix a non-zero invariant measure  $du$  on  $G/D(\mathbb{Z})\mathcal{U}(A^f)$ , then for  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_{l+1, 2l}$  the measure  $\varphi \cdot |\det|_f^l \cdot du$  is right  $B(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant, so we have non-degenerate G-pairing  $( , ) \cdot \mathfrak{B}_{s, l} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{l+1-s, l} \otimes \mathbb{V}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_{n, l}^0 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{l+1-n, l}^0 \otimes \mathbb{V}^l \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \int_{G/B(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot (D \cdot \mathcal{U})(A^f)} \varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2 \cdot |\det|_f^l du$ . Now for  $w \in E$ ,  $e \in E$  consider the function  $\langle w, e \rangle_s : g \mapsto [g^{-1}w, g^{-1}e]_s$ ; clearly  $\langle w, e \rangle_s \in \mathfrak{B}_{-s+l+2, l}$ . So we have the G-map  $\langle \rangle_s : V \otimes E \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{-s+l+2, l}$ . For any  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_{s-1, l}$  one has

$$(4.3.3) \quad \langle w, e \rangle_s, \varphi = \int_{G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(A^f)} W(w) \cdot \overline{W}(e) \varphi |\det|_f^l du'.$$

Here  $Z$  = Center  $GL_2$  and  $du'$  is an invariant measure on  $G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(A^f)$ ; note that  $W(w) \cdot \overline{W}(e) \cdot \varphi |\det|_f^l$  is a right  $Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(A^f)$ -invariant function.

Since the spaces  $\mathfrak{B}_{s, l}$  for  $s \neq l/2, l/2 + 1$  are irreducible, 4.3.2 implies that for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n > \frac{l+4}{2}$  one has

$$(4.3.4) \quad \langle \rangle_n(V \otimes E) = L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-l-2)^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{B}_{-n+l+2, l}^0$$

or

$$(4.3.5) \quad \text{the } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-space generated by } \langle w, e \rangle_n, w \in V, e \in E, \\ \varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_{n-1, l}^0 \text{ is } L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-l-2)^{-1} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Remark 4.3.6. The equality 4.3.4 holds also for  $n = \frac{l+4}{2}$  if we assume that  $V \neq E^*$  (for  $l = 0$ ). (Note that the left-hand side is contained in the right-hand one, and the only non-trivial G-subspace of  $\mathfrak{B}_{l/2, l}^0$  is  $|\det|^{-l/2} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . So, if the equality does not hold we have a non-trivial G-pairing between  $V$  and  $E$ .) For  $n = \frac{l+4}{2}$  the space  $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1, l}^0$  contains a unique non-trivial G-subspace  $\mathbb{F}^l$ ; clearly 4.3.4 implies that the stronger version of 4.3.5 - when  $\varphi$  runs only through  $\mathbb{F}^l$ -holds.  $\square$

Now we may return to Eisenstein series. For  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{A}_{s,t}$  the section  $(2\pi y)^{s-t} \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathcal{X}^t$  of  $\omega_{\infty}^t(H^+ \times G)$  is  $B(\mathbb{Q})^+$ -invariant and is an element of  $\omega_{\infty}^t(\tilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ . The series  $\mathcal{E}^{s,t}(\varphi) := p_*((2\pi y)^{s-t} \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathcal{X}^t)$  converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{t+2}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{s,t}: \mathfrak{A}_{s,t} \rightarrow \omega_{\infty}^t(M)$  commutes with  $G$ -action; the map  $\mathcal{E}^{t+1,t}$  is  $(t+1) \cdot \mathcal{E}^t$  from 2.3. So for  $e \in E$  we have  $\mathbb{C} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued  $(1,0)$ -form  $e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,t}(\varphi)$  on  $M$ . Let us compute the scalar product  $(w, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,t}(\varphi))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (w, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,t}(\varphi)) &= 2^{t+1} \int_{\tilde{M}(\mathbb{C})} w(q) \cdot \overline{(q)} (2\pi y)^s \cdot \varphi(g) \cdot |\det g|^t d2\pi y \cdot dx \\ &\stackrel{(4.3.1)}{=} 2^{t+1} \int_{H^+ \times G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Q})} W(w) q \bar{\mathcal{E}}(q) \cdot (2\pi y)^s \\ &\quad \cdot \varphi(g) |\det g|^t d2\pi y \cdot dx. \end{aligned}$$

To compute this first integrate along  $x$ , i.e., along  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ -orbits. The Fourier orthogonal relations show that we may replace  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(q)$  by  $\overline{W(e) \cdot q}$  in this integral; we get

$$\begin{aligned} (w, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,t}(\varphi)) &= 2^{t+1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{*+}} t^{-4\pi y} (2\pi y) d2\pi y \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) \mathcal{U}(A^f)} \varphi \cdot W(w) \cdot \overline{W(e)} |\det| du \\ &\stackrel{(4.3.3)}{=} c \cdot 2^{-s} \Gamma(s+1) \langle w, e \rangle_{s+1, \varphi} \end{aligned}$$

for certain  $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . So 4.3.5 implies that for  $n > \frac{t+4}{2}$  the  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space, generated by  $(w, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{n-1,t}(\varphi))$ ,  $w \in V$ ,  $e \in E$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{A}_{n-1,t}^0$  coincides with  $L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-t-2)^{-1} \cdot \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

For  $E = E_{\chi}$  and  $n = t+2$  this statement is exactly 4.2.1, since  $L(V, E_{\chi}, s) = L(V, s) L(V \cdot \chi, s-t-1)$  and  $\theta(E_{\chi}) = \chi$ . This finishes the proof of 1.3 in case  $t > 0$ .

## 5. CASE $t = 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 in case  $t = 0$  follows the same pattern as in the case  $t > 0$ . We will discuss here the minor changes needed to treat this case.

5.1. The definition of spaces  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^0 \subset H_B^0(\tilde{M}^{\infty}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^0 \subset H_B^0(\tilde{M}^{\infty}, \mathbb{Q})$  and residue maps  $\operatorname{Res}_B^0: H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$ ,  $\operatorname{Res}_{\chi}^0: H_{\chi}^1(M, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0$  goes without changes. Note that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  is the space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued measures on  $|M^{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{R}|$ , invariant under the action of sufficiently small open  $K \subset G$ , and  $\mathcal{F}^0$  is the space of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued ones on  $|M^{\infty}|$ . So we have canonical maps  $\int: \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ; let  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^0$  be the kernel of  $\int$ . The exact cohomology sequence shows that the image of  $\operatorname{Res}_B$  is  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$ ; one also has  $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Res}_{\chi} = \bar{\mathcal{F}}^0$  by Manin-Drinfeld theorem (note that  $H_{\chi}^1(M, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\operatorname{Res}_{\chi}^0$  is divisor map).

The forms  $\mathcal{X}^0$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{H}}^0$  of 2.1.3, 2.2 are  $d \log q$  and  $\log|q|$  respectively. The Eisenstein series  $P_*(\varphi \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{H}}^0)$  for  $\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  does not converge absolutely. They are defined as analytic continuation of series  $\mathcal{E}^{s,0}$  (see 4.3) to  $s = 1$ ; one proceeds in the same manner with  $E^0$  or put directly  $E^0(\varphi) = dz \mathcal{E}^0(\varphi)$ . The results of 2.1.3, 2.2 and 2.3 remain valid (with  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^t$  replaced by  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$ ).

As in 2.1.3, put  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^0 = H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \cap F^1 H_{\text{DR}}^1(M \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Im}(H_{\mathbb{H}}^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}}^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)))$ . We have canonical direct sum decomposition (valid for any curve)  $H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{R}(2)) = H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^0 \oplus H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1))$ . The Lemma 2.4.1 in case  $t = 0$  is not true as stated but the proof (trivial in this case) shows that  $E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_1) \cup E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_2)$  is the projection of  $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2$  to  $H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1))$ . Consider the Poincaré duality pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  restricted to  $\Omega^1(\bar{M} \otimes \mathbb{R}) \subset H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \subset H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ . The above shows that  $\langle w, \psi_1 \cup \psi_2 \rangle = \langle w, E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_1) \cup E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_2) \rangle = -\langle w, E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_1) \mathcal{E}^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_2) \rangle$ .

5.2. The above decomposition of  $H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{R}(2))$  also holds for  $H_{\chi}^2$ . To see this recall the following lemma of Bloch. Let  $S$  be a spectrum of somewhat localized ring of integers in a number field,  $p: \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow S$  be a projective curve over  $S$  and



$C^\infty \subset \bar{C}$  be a divisor. Suppose that  $\bar{C}$  is regular scheme and  $C^\infty$  is a disjoint union of components  $S_i$  s.t. for any  $i$  the projection  $p|_{C_j}: S_j \rightarrow S$  is isomorphism and any  $S_i - S_j$  has finite order in  $\text{Pic}(\bar{C})$ . Put  $C := \bar{C} \setminus C^\infty$ .

Lemma 5.2.1. Put  $\mathfrak{H}(C) := \{H_{\mathcal{A}}^1(C, \mathbb{Q}(1)), p^* H_{\mathcal{A}}^1(S, \mathbb{Q}(1))\} \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ . We have the direct sum decomposition

$$H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = \mathfrak{H}(C) \oplus H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)).$$

Proof: Consider the exact localization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus H_{\mathcal{A}}^0(S_i, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{j^*} H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \\ \partial \rightarrow \oplus H_{\mathcal{A}}^1(S_i, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{\mathcal{A}}^3(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^0(S_i, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = 0$ , the arrow  $j^*$  is injective. Since  $p_* i_* = \oplus_j (p_j|_{C_j})_*$  the image of  $\partial$  is contained in the kernel of the sum of the coordinate map; but this one equals  $\partial \mathfrak{H}(C)$  by our conditions. So  $\text{Im } \partial = \text{Im } \partial|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ , i.e.,  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))$  is the sum of our subspaces. To see that this sum is direct, we have to show that  $\text{Ker } \partial|_{\mathfrak{H}(C)} = 0$ . Note that  $\text{Ker}(\partial \circ \{ \cdot, \cdot \}) = \text{div} \cdot p^*: \mathcal{O}^*(C) \otimes \mathcal{O}^*(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}^*(S_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  obviously coincides with  $\mathcal{O}^*(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}^*(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Since its image in  $\mathfrak{H}$  is zero by Borel's theorems  $\{\mathcal{O}^*(S), \mathcal{O}^*(S)\} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset K_2(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ , the map  $\partial|_{\mathfrak{H}}$  is injective.  $\square$

Put  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} = \{\mathcal{O}(C)^*, \mathcal{O}(C)^*\} \cdot \mathbb{Q} \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} = H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cap H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}}$ . Clearly 5.2.1 implies

5.2.2 One has direct sum decomposition

$$H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} = \mathfrak{H}(C) \oplus H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}}.$$

Let us apply the above considerations to the fiber  $C_\eta^\infty \subset \bar{C}_\eta \supset C_\eta$  of the above situation over the generic point  $\eta \in S$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}^*(C_\eta) = \mathcal{O}^*(\eta) \cdot \mathcal{O}^*(C)$  one has

5.2.3. The restriction map  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{C}_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}}$  is surjective.

By Manin-Drinfeld the curves  $M_n/\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n]$  fit into 5.2.1.

5.2.4. One has canonical direct sum decompositions  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} = H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ .

Now let us prove that  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (Theorem 1.2.3; case  $t = 0$ ). Consider canonical model of  $\bar{M}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Namely, let  $\bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  be the integral closure of  $\bar{M}_{0\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  in  $\bar{M}_n$ . Clearly  $\bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  is a proper scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$  with  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -action. For  $n_1/n_2$  one has an obvious map  $\bar{M}_{n_2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{n_1\mathbb{Z}}$ ; put  $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \varprojlim \bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ . One knows that the  $\bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  are regular schemes, and the scheme  $M_{n\mathbb{Z}}^\infty :=$  the closure of  $M_n^\infty$  in  $\bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  is a disjoint union of components that project isomorphically to  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$  (cf. e.g. [5]). So 1.2.3 will follow from 5.2.2, if we prove the following more precise version of Manin-Drinfeld theorem:

Lemma 5.2.4. The difference of any two components of  $M_{n\mathbb{Z}}^\infty$  has finite order in  $\text{Pic } \bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Proof: Note that for any  $x \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$  the fiber  $\bar{M}_{nx}$  of  $\bar{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  over  $x$  is reduced. So (by the ordinary Manin-Drinfeld and since  $\text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ ) 5.2.4 follows from

5.2.4.1. For any  $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(M)$  and a closed point  $x(n) \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$  the order of  $\text{div } f$  along the irreducible components of  $\bar{M}_x$  is constant.

Let  $p = \text{char } x(n)$  and  $n = p^a m$ ,  $(m, p) = 1$ . Recall that the components of  $\bar{M}_{nx}$  are in natural 1-1 correspondence with points of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})$ ; the action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  on the first set corresponds to the obvious action via  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})$  on the second. It will be convenient for us to pass to  $\bar{M}$ : so, if  $x \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$  is closed point of  $\text{char } p$  then, the set of components of  $\bar{M}_x$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The natural action of  $G$  on  $\bar{M}$  prolongs to the one on  $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ; the group  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f) \subset G$  acts on the set of components of  $\bar{M}_x$  via the projection

$$\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

These facts easily imply 5.2.4.1. Namely, for a profinite set  $L$  denote by  $\mathfrak{F}(L)$  the space of locally-constant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued

functions on  $L$ , let  $\mathcal{Q} \subset L$  be the space of constant functions. The arrows  $\text{div}_{x_n}: \mathcal{O}^*(M_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}))$ ,  $\text{div}_{x_n}(f)(\alpha) = \frac{\text{ord}_\alpha f}{\text{ord}_{x_n} p}$  are compatible for different  $n$  and so defined  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)$ -map  $\text{div}_x: \mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ . We have to show that  $\text{div}_x(\mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{Q}) \subset \mathcal{Q}$ . But the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)$ -module  $\mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is an automorphic representation (Eisenstein series + trivial module). The space  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))/\mathcal{Q}$  is the sum of infinite-dimensional representations of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)$  acts on it via the projection on  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . So  $\text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)}(\mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))/\mathcal{Q}) = 0$ , and we are done.  $\square$

5.3. All the results of Section 4 remain valid for  $\iota = 0$ : one has to use Remark 4.3.6. This finishes the proof in case  $\iota = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Beilinson, Higher regulators and values of L-functions. Preprint 1982 (in Russian).
2. A. Beilinson, Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology. Preprint 1983.
3. P. Deligne, Valeurs de fonctions L et periodes des integrales. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. XXXIII, part 2, 1979, 313-346.
4. H. Jacquet, Automorphic forms on  $\text{GL}_2$ , part II. Lect. Notes in Math. 278.
5. N. Katz, B. Mazur, Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves. Preprint, Princeton, 1982.

#### NOTES ON ABSOLUTE HODGE COHOMOLOGY

A. A. Beilinson

INTRODUCTION. First a few words about the situation in étale cohomology to motivate what follows. Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  be a scheme over a field  $K$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X_{\text{ét}})$  be a complex of sheaves on  $X_{\text{ét}}$ ; put  $\underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) := R\pi_*(\mathcal{F}) \in D^b((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) &= R\Gamma((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}, \underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})) \\ &= R \text{Hom}_{D^b((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}})}(\mathbb{Z}, \underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\bar{K}/K$  is a separable closure of  $K$  and  $G = \text{Gal } \bar{K}/K$ , then the sheaves on  $(\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}$  are  $G$ -modules, and  $\underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = R\Gamma((X \otimes_K \bar{K})_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$  is the geometric étale cochain complex of  $X$  with canonical  $G$ -action.

0.1. Now suppose that  $K = \mathbb{C}$ . Then, following Deligne [4] the role of sheaves on "arithmetic"  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  should be played by (mixed) Hodge structures. This analogy suggests that for any scheme  $X$  there should be a canonical object  $\underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathbb{Z}) \in D^b(\mathfrak{H})$  (where  $\mathfrak{H}$  = category of Hodge structures), whose underlying complex of abelian groups is the usual chain complex of topological space  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . We will see that this is indeed the case: The basic construction of Deligne [4] plus a bit of homological algebra do the job. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  define the absolute Hodge cochain complex of  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}(i)$

$$R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) := R \text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathfrak{H})}(\mathbb{Z}, \underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathbb{Z})(i)).$$

Here  $(i)$  on the right-hand side means Tate twist in  $D^b(\mathfrak{H})$ . The absolute Hodge (or simply  $\mathfrak{H}$ -) cohomology groups  $H_m^*(X, \mathbb{Z}(*)) = H^*(R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(*)))$  for  $m$  a twisted Poincaré duality theory in the sense of [3]. They may be easily computed in terms of Deligne-Hodge structure in  $H^*(X)$ , e.g. we have canonical