

HIGHER REGULATORS OF MODULAR CURVES

A. A. Beilinson

In this paper I will show that the H^j -groups of any curve, uniformized by modular functions, contain a subgroup whose image under the regulator map is exactly the subgroup predicted by the conjectures of [1] §3 (cf. also [2] §8) about the values of L-functions.

I wish to thank Ju. I. Manin for his encouraging interest in the subject.

In the following I will use notations from the paper [2]. In particular if X is a scheme, then $H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ is the subspace of Quillen's group $K_{2i-j}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ on which Adams operators ψ^p act by multiplication by p^i .

1. THE STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT.

1.1. Modular curves, preliminaries and notations. In the following V denotes the two-dimensional space A^{f2} over finite adeles A^f , $G:GL_2(A^f) = GL(V)$.

1.1.1. Fix an integer $N > 3$. Then $M_{(N)}$ denotes the moduli space over \mathbb{Q} of elliptic curves with level N structure, and $\bar{M}_{(N)}$ the one of generalized elliptic curves - the smooth compactification of $M_{(N)}$; put $M_{(N)}^\infty := \bar{M}_{(N)} - M_{(N)}$ with reduced scheme structure. Let $\pi_{(N)}: X_{(N)} \rightarrow M_{(N)}$ be the universal curve and $\alpha_{(N)}:(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 \rightarrow X_{(N)}(M_{(N)})$ be its level N structure. The scheme $\bar{M}_{(N)}$ is a smooth projective absolutely irreducible curve over the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$. One has compatible left actions of the group $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on the schemes above; an element $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$ by $g^*(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^{\det(g)}$. One has also the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ on the scheme $X_{(N)}$ by finite order point translations along the fibers of $\pi_{(N)}$, so in fact the semi-direct product $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ acts on $X_{(N)}$.

If N_1, N_2 are integers s.t. $N_2 \mid N_1$, then there are compatible natural morphisms $M_{N_2} \xrightarrow{(-)} M_{N_1}$, $X_{N_2} \xrightarrow{(-)} X_{N_1}$ that commute

with $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z})$ - and $(\mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z})^2$ -action and $\alpha(N_1)$ via the reduction map $\mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N_2\mathbb{Z}$. Put $M := \lim_{\leftarrow} M(N)$, $X := \lim_{\leftarrow} X(N)$. These are schemes over the cyclotomic field field $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] := \cup \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$, $\zeta_{N_1}^{N_2} = \zeta_{N_2}$. There is a canonical left G - and $G \times V$ -action on them s.t. $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ act via the limits of actions on finite levels.

More generally, we will need Kuga-Sato schemes $X^t \xrightarrow{\pi_t} M$ (t is an integer ≥ 0). These are t -fold fiber products of X over $M: X^t := X \times_M \dots \times_M X$; so $X^0 = M$, $X^1 = X$. There is an action of $G \times V^t$ on X^t s.t. for any compact open subgroup $K \subset G \times V^t$ the factor scheme $K \backslash X^t$ has finite type over \mathbb{Q} and $X^t = \lim_{\leftarrow} K \backslash X^t$. Since for any $K_1 \subset K_2$ the morphism

$K_1 \backslash X^t \rightarrow K_2 \backslash X^t$ is finite, we have $H^1(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \lim_{\rightarrow} H^1(K \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$; for small K 's the space $H^1(K \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ coincides with the space of K -invariant vectors in $H^1(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$. If H is any contravariant functor on schemes of finite type over \mathbb{Q} we put by definition $H(X^t) := \lim_{\rightarrow} H(K \backslash X^t)$; one has a natural action of $G \times V^t$ on $H(X^t)$. Same notation for M^∞ .

1.1.2. Consider the action of G on the component $[M]^1$ of degree 1 of the motive of M . Let \mathcal{R} be a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic weight 2 parabolic $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations of G . Then one has $[M]^1 = \bigoplus_{V \in \mathcal{R}} V \otimes M_V$ where $M_V := \text{Hom}_G(V, M)$. This decomposition induces the corresponding decomposition of $H_{\text{DR}}^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \supset \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let index V denote the V -component of the representation; we have $\Omega^1(\overline{M})_V = \mathcal{O} \otimes \Omega^1(M_V)$ and so on. The $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -spaces $\Omega^1(M_V)$ and $H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ are 1-dimensional (multiplicity one theorem), and one has $L(M_V, S) = L(V^*, S)$. Here the right L -function is the one of Hecke-Jacquet-Langlands of the representation V^* dual to V ; these L -functions are holomorphic and satisfy the functional equation. Note, that this functional equation implies that

$L(V, S)$ have simple zeros at non-positive integers; for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \leq 0$ put $\iota(V, i) := \frac{d}{dS} L(V, S)|_{S=i} \in (\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R})^*$.

1.2. Construction of the elements in $H^2_M(\overline{M})$. For any compact open subgroup $W \subset V^t$ the scheme $W \backslash X^t$ is smooth proper over M , so one has the Gysin map $W \pi^t_*: H^a_M(W \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b)) \rightarrow H^{a-2t}_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(b-t))$. Clearly if $W_1 \subset W_2$, then $W_1 \pi^t_*$ coincides with $|W_1/W_2| \cdot \pi^t_{W_2*}$ on $H^a_M(W_2 \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b)) \subset H^a_M(W_1 \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b))$. Let v denote the 1-dimensional G -module, dual to the one of \mathbb{Q} -valued invariant measures on V ; G acts on v by the character $|\det|$. Clearly, we have a canonical $v^{\otimes t}$ -valued measure u on V^t . The above shows that we have canonical G -map $\pi^t_*: H^a_M(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b)) \rightarrow H^{a-2t}_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(b-t)) \otimes v^t$ that coincides with $u(W) \cdot W \pi^t_*$ on $H^a_M(W \backslash X^t, \mathbb{Q}(b))$.

Note that localization sequence together with the Borel theorem and [1] (cf. [2] no 5) imply

Lemma 1.2.1. The restriction map $H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2)) \rightarrow H^2_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))$ is injective for $t \geq 0$. One has $H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2)) = H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$ if $t > 0$. \square

Definition 1.2.2. Put $H^2_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} := \pi^t_*(\{H^{t+1}_M(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)), H^{t+1}_M(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))\}) \otimes v^{-t}$. Clearly $H^2_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}}$ is a $G(A^f)$ -submodule of $H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))$. The following theorem will be proved in 2.4.1 for $t > 0$ and in 5.2 for $t = 0$. Put $H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} := H^2_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} \cap H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))$.

Theorem 1.2.3. If $t > 0$ then $H^2_M(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} = H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $t = 0$ then $H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

1.3. The main theorem. Consider the subspace $P_t := r_{\mathcal{R}}(H^2_M(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. This subspace is $G(A^f)$ -invariant, so one has $P_t = \bigoplus_{V \in \mathcal{R}} V \otimes P_{tV}$ for some $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ subspace $P_V \subset H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$.

Theorem 1.3. One has $P_{tV} = \iota(V, -t) H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$. \square

Clearly 1.2 and 1.1.3 imply that 1.3 is compatible with the conjectures of [1] §3, [2] §8. The conjectures themselves for the motives considered would be implied by 1.2, 1.3 and the unknown statement about the ranks of $H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(M_N, \mathbb{Q}(*))$.

The proof of 1.3 goes as follows. First, in §2, we will see that the value of the regulator map r on an element $\pi_*^{\ell}(\{\alpha, \beta\})$ of $H^2_{\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+2))_{\text{parab}}$ is a product of certain holomorphic and non-holomorphic Eisenstein series that correspond to residues of α, β at parabolic points (cf. 2.4). Then, in §3, we will prove that any reasonable function on parabolic points is the residue of some element from $H^{\ell+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{\ell}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ (for $\ell = 0$ this is just Manin-Drinfeld theorem). The results of §§2,3 describe explicitly the space P_{ℓ} . To find its V -components and their periods one has to compute Petersson's scalar products of elements of P_{ℓ} with parabolic weight two eigenforms of Hecke operators. This is what Rankin's method does (cf. §4). In §§2-4 we will suppose that $\ell > 0$; some minor changes needed to handle the case $\ell = 0$ are presented in §5 (this case was treated in [1] §5; we present it here for completeness sake).

2. REGULATORS AND EISENSTEIN SERIES.

We will use, throughout the text, the following notations. If Z is an analytic manifold over \mathbb{R} , $\dim Z = N$, then \mathcal{E}_Z^{\cdot} , \mathcal{C}_Z^{\cdot} are complexes of \mathbb{R} -valued C^{∞} -class forms and currents respectively; one has $\mathcal{E}_Z^{\cdot} \subset \mathcal{C}_Z^{\cdot} \otimes \mathbb{R}(-N)[-2N]$, $\mathcal{E}_Z^n \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathcal{E}_Z^{p,q}$, $\mathcal{E}_Z^{\cdot} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{E}_Z^{\cdot} \otimes \mathbb{R}(i) \oplus \mathcal{E}_Z^{\cdot} \otimes \mathbb{R}(i-1)$. Put $\mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(Z, \mathbb{R}(i)) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{E}_Z^{\cdot} \otimes \mathbb{R}(i)), \dots$; for $w \in \mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(Z, \mathbb{C})$ let $w^{\bar{i}} \in \mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(Z, \mathbb{R}(i))$, $w^{(p,q)} \in \mathcal{E}_Z^{p,q}(Z)$ denote its projections on corresponding spaces. If $\pi: Z \rightarrow T$ is a smooth map of relative dimension 1, then $\mathcal{E}_{Z/T}^{\cdot}, \dots$ denote the sheaves on Z of relative forms along the fibers; we have a restriction to the fibers arrow $\# \mathcal{E}_Z^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{Z/T}^{p,q} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{E}_T^{p-\ell, q-\ell}$. If π is proper, then we have the integration along the fibers map $\pi_*: \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(Z, \mathbb{R}(*)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(T, \mathbb{R}(*))$, $\mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(Z, \mathbb{R}(*)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-2\ell}(Z, \mathbb{R}(*-\ell))$ that factors through $\#$. For a bundle S over Z let \mathcal{E}_S^{\cdot} be the sheaf of its C^{∞} -class sections; so $\Omega_S^n = \mathcal{E}_S^{n,0}$. If $Z_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a scheme over \mathbb{Q} , put $\mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(Z) = \cdot(Z \otimes \mathbb{R}), \dots$.

2.1. Preliminaries. In this n° we will recall some basic facts about residues and Eisenstein series. Let $\omega^{\ell} := (\omega^1)^{\otimes \ell}$ $= \pi_*^{\ell}(\Omega^{\ell}(X^{\ell}/M))$ be the sheaf on M of weight ℓ modular forms.

2.1.0. Traces. Define the direct image map $\pi_*^{\ell}: \mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(X^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}(*)) = \mathcal{E}^{-2\ell}(M, \mathbb{R}(*-\ell)) \otimes v^{\ell}, \dots$, to be $\mu(W) \cdot \pi_*^{\ell}$ on $\mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(W \setminus X^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}(*))$; same definition for currents and cohomology classes; these are well-defined G-maps. We have a canonical G-isomorphism $\omega^{\ell} \otimes \bar{\omega}^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\ell} \otimes v^{\ell}$, $\omega \cdot \bar{\omega} := \pi_*^{\ell}(\omega \wedge \bar{\omega})$. Define trace maps $\mathcal{E}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H_B^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ to be $\mu'(K)$ -times integration over the fundamental cycle of $K \setminus \overline{M}$ (here μ' is an invariant \mathbb{Q} -valued measure on G) for sufficiently small compact open subgroup $K \subset G$. These are also well-defined G-maps; they define G-pairings: Petersson's scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathcal{E}^{\ell, 0}(\overline{M}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{1, 0}(\overline{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Tr } \alpha \wedge \beta$ and Poincaré duality $H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \otimes H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(1-i)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

2.1.1. Cusps. Recall the standard parametrization of M^{∞} . Let \hat{X} be a group scheme over \overline{M} obtained by adding to X the neutral components of fibers of Neron model over M^{∞} . More precisely, for a compact open subgroup $W \subset V$, one has the group scheme $W \hat{X}$ of finite type over \overline{M} s.t. for sufficiently small $K \subset G_W, \hat{X}$ coincides with inverse image of the scheme $KW \hat{X}$ over $K \setminus \overline{M}$, where $KW \hat{X}$ is $KW \setminus X$ with added neutral components of fibers of Neron model. When W varies the schemes $W \hat{X}$ form an obvious projective system; put $\hat{X} = \lim_{\leftarrow} W \hat{X}$. Clearly the G -action on X prolongs to the one on \hat{X} .

Let $x \in M^{\infty}$ be a point. Note that the fiber of any $W \hat{X}$ over x is isomorphic to a multiplicative group G_m , so we may consider the fiber \hat{X}_x as a group up to isogeny, isomorphic to G_m . Call a parameter on \hat{X}_x an isomorphism $\tilde{G}_m \xrightarrow{t} \hat{X}_x$ where \tilde{G}_m is G_m in the category of groups up to isogeny; clearly parameters form \mathbb{Q}^* -torsor, since $\mathbb{Q}^* = \text{Aut}(\tilde{G}_m)$. Now note that the group F^* / \mathcal{O}_x^* (F = field of rational functions on M , \mathcal{O}_x = local ring of $x \in \overline{M}$) is a 1-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -space, so the elements of $\mathcal{O}_x \setminus \{0\} / \mathcal{O}_x^* \subset F^* / \mathcal{O}_x^*$ - call them parameters at x - form \mathbb{Q}^{*+} -torsor. Define an enhanced point to be a triple (x, t, q) where x is a parabolic point, t is a parameter on \hat{X}_x and q is a parameter at x . Clearly the space \tilde{M}^{∞} of enhanced points is a right $\mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ -torsor over M^{∞} ; it is also obviously

supplied with left G -action. The standard Tate curve defines the enhanced point $x_0 \in \widetilde{M}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta])$. The stabilizer of this point in G is $U(A^f)$ where $U = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \subset GL_2$. The elements $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ and $v \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z}^*$ act on x_0 by right multiplication by matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$ respectively. Since G acts on M^∞ transitively this describes \widetilde{M}^∞ and M^∞ completely. In particular the underlying space $|M^\infty|$ of M^∞ is $G/[B(\mathbb{Q})(\mathfrak{D} \cdot U)(A^f)]$, where $B = \{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 2\pi i & * \end{pmatrix} \}$, $\mathfrak{D} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \}$.

Fixing the \mathbb{C} -point of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]:\zeta_N = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$, one has $M^\infty(\mathbb{C}) = G/B(\mathbb{Q})^+$, where $B(\mathbb{Q})^+ := \{ g \in B(\mathbb{Q}): \det g > 0 \}$.

2.1.2. Residues. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R} . Define a G -morphism $\text{Res}^t: H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow H_B^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, A)$ as follows. Consider the G -scheme $\widetilde{X}^t := \widetilde{X} \times \dots \times \widetilde{X}$ (t -fold fiber product). For compact open $W \subset V^t$ and sufficiently small $K \subset G$ one has the residue map $_{KW} \text{Res}^t = \prod_{x \in K \setminus M^\infty} \text{Res}_x^t: H_B^{t+1}(KW \setminus X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow H_B^t(KW \setminus \widetilde{X}^\infty, A(t))$

$$= \prod_{x \in K \setminus M^\infty} H_B^t(\widetilde{X}_x^t, A(t))$$

the boundary map in exact sequence of pairs $(_{KW} \widetilde{X}^t, KW \setminus X^t)$ here $_{KW} X^\infty = \coprod_{x \in K \setminus M^\infty} \widetilde{X}_x^t$ is fiber of $KW \setminus X^t$ over $K \setminus M^\infty$. This map depends on the choice of K in the following way: if $K' \subset K$, $x \in K' \setminus M^\infty$ and e is the ramification index of $\pi: K' \setminus M \rightarrow K \setminus M$ at x , then one has $e \cdot \pi^* \text{Res}_{\pi(x)}^t = K' \text{Res}_x^t \pi^*$. For an enhanced point $\widetilde{x} = (x, t, q)$ define $_{K^e(\widetilde{x})} \text{Res}^t$ by $_{K^e(\widetilde{x})} \text{Res}^t = K^e \text{Res}_x^t$ in F^* / \mathcal{O}_x^* , where $K^e \text{Res}_x^t$ is natural parameter at $x \in K \setminus M$. Then the arrows $_{K^e(\widetilde{x})} \text{Res}_x^t$ for different K 's are compatible, so one has the map $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{x}}^t := \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ K, W}} K^e(\widetilde{x}) \text{Res}_x^t: H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow H_B^t(KW \setminus \widetilde{X}^\infty, A(t))$. Finally define $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{x}}^t: H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) \rightarrow A$ to be $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{x}}^t$ composed with $H_B^t(\widetilde{X}_x^t, A(t)) \xrightarrow{q^t *} H_B^t(G_m^t, A(t)) \cong A$; put $\text{Res}^t := \prod_{\widetilde{x}} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{x}}^t$.

In the same way one defines Res^t in any other cohomology theory; e.g. we have a G -map $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{X}}^t: H_{\mathcal{X}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{X}}^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q}) = H^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ (the only thing one has to remark for general cohomology is that the last arrow $H_{\mathcal{X}}^t(G_m^t, \mathbb{Q}(t)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ in the definition of Res^t comes from canonical projection $H_{\mathcal{X}}^t(G_m^t \times S, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{X}}^t(S, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \otimes \Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_t) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{X}}^{-t}(S, \mathbb{Q}(*-t))$,

$t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_t \mapsto 1$, where $t_i = p_i^*(t)$, $t \in H^1(G_m, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ is the canonical element). Clearly we have commutative square of G maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_B^{t+1}(X^t, A(t+1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_B^t} & H_B^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, A) \\ \uparrow r_B & & \uparrow \\ H_{\mathcal{X}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathcal{X}}^t} & H^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Clearly for $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$ we have $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{X}(\alpha, \beta)}^t = \alpha^t \beta^{-1} \text{Res}_X^t$.

So if we define $\mathfrak{I}_A^t \subset H_B^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, A)$, $\mathfrak{I}^t \subset H^0(\widetilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ to be the subspace of elements ω , s.t. $\omega(\widetilde{x}(\alpha, \beta)) = \alpha^t \beta^{-1} \omega(\widetilde{x})$, then the image of Res^t lies in this subspace. According to the end of 2.1.1 we may identify \mathfrak{I}_A^t with the space of all locally-constant A -valued functions ω on G s.t. for any $c \in A^f$, $a, d \in \mathbb{Q}$, $ad > 0$ we have $\omega(X(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})) = a^{-1} d^{t+1} \omega(X)$, and $\omega(X(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix})) = \omega(X)$; $\mathfrak{I}^t \subset \mathfrak{I}_Q^t$ is the subspace of right $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^* \end{smallmatrix})$ -invariant ones.

2.1.3. Eisenstein series. Let $H^\pm := \mathbb{P}_{\text{Ran}}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ be half-planes considered as analytic manifold over \mathbb{R} with standard coordinate z_0 . The group $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts on H^\pm from the right by formula $z_0 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{az_0 + c}{bz_0 + d}$. The (pro-analytic) manifold $(M \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}}$ may be identified in a standard way with $H^\pm \times G/GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$; the canonical action of G on M coincides with obvious left-multiplication action. Also the semi-direct product $GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ acts on the product of H^\pm and affine line \mathbb{A}^1 by formula $(z_0, z_1)(g, (a_1, a_2)) = (z_0 g, (bz_0 + d)^{-1} z_1 + a_1 z_0 g + a_2)$ and we have $X \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\text{an}} = [(H^\pm \times \mathbb{A}^1) \times (G \times V)]/GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \ltimes \mathbb{Q}^2$, $(X^t \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}} = [(H^\pm \times \mathbb{A}^t) \times (G \times V^t)]/GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Q}^2)^t$.

Put $\widetilde{X}^t := [(H^\pm \times \mathbb{A}^t) \times (G \times V^t)]/B(\mathbb{Q}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Q}^2)^t$ where $B = \{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \} \subset GL_2$, and let $p^t: \widetilde{X}^t \rightarrow (X^t \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}}$ be the projection. These are etale maps. The connected components of \widetilde{X}^t are in 1-1 correspondence with $M^\infty \otimes \mathbb{R}$: the map $p = p^0: \widetilde{M} := \widetilde{X}^0 \rightarrow (M \otimes \mathbb{R})_{\text{an}}$ identifies \widetilde{M} with the disjoint

union of some punctured neighborhoods of parabolic points; for example the standard point x_0 corresponds to the component $(H^+ \times 1)$.

The inverse image of ω^ℓ to $H^+ \times G$ has canonical trivialization given by section $\alpha^\ell := (2\pi i)^\ell dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_\ell$, where z_i , $i \geq 1$ are coordinates on A^ℓ . Let ω be an $\ell+1$ -form on X^ℓ , i.e., the section of the sheaf $\Omega^1 \otimes \omega^\ell$ on M . If $\omega \in \Omega^{\ell+1}(X^\ell \otimes \mathbb{R})$ has logarithmic singularities at infinity, then its inverse image to $H^+ \times G$ equals to $\omega(q) \cdot \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \alpha^\ell$, where $\omega(q) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Q}} f_{a,\omega}(q) q^a$. Here $\frac{dq}{q} := 2\pi i dz_0$, $q^a := e^{2\pi i a z}$ and f_a is certain \mathbb{C} -valued function on G . It is easy to see that $f_0(\omega)$ belongs to \mathcal{J}_R^ℓ and coincides with the residue of cohomology class of ω .

Now for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_R^\ell$ consider the form $\omega(q) \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \alpha^\ell$ on $H^+ \times G$. This form comes from the unique one, also denoted by $\omega(q) \wedge \alpha^\ell$ on \tilde{M} . Put $E^\ell(\varphi) := p_*(\omega(q) \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \alpha^\ell)$ (we suppose that $\ell > 0$); this is a well-defined section of $\Omega^1 \otimes \omega^\ell$ on $(M \otimes \mathbb{R})_{an}$, since for $\ell > 0$ the series in question converges absolutely. One knows that this $(\ell+1)$ -form on X^ℓ has logarithmic singularities at infinity; we will denote the same way by $E^\ell(\varphi)$ its cohomology class. The map $E^\ell: \mathcal{J}_R^\ell \rightarrow H_{DR}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell \otimes \mathbb{R}) = H_B^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{C})$ commutes with G -action and (by Manin-Drinfeld theorem) one has $E^\ell(\mathcal{J}_A^\ell) \subset H_B^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, A(\ell+1))$. More precisely, let \mathcal{J}_A^ℓ denote the intersection of $H_B^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, A(\ell+1))$ with the $(\ell+1)$ st-term of the Deligne Hodge filtration on $H_{DR}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell \otimes \mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{J}_A^\ell \xrightarrow{E^\ell} \mathcal{J}_A^\ell$ are mutually inverse G -isomorphisms.

2.2. Eisenstein series in \mathbb{H} -cohomology. Note that the canonical exact sequence of G -modules $0 \rightarrow H_B^\ell(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_R^\ell \rightarrow 0$ has a unique splitting $S: \mathcal{J}_R^\ell \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$, since G -modules $H_B^\ell(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell))$ and \mathcal{J}_R^ℓ have different weights. Put $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell := S \circ E^\ell: \mathcal{J}_R^\ell \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$; clearly this is unique right-inverse to $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}$. We will need an explicit formula for $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell$ in the explicit presentation of [2] (5.7.1) for $H_{\mathbb{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$.

Let $C_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $i = 0, \dots, \ell$, be the numbers defined by induction: $C_0 = (\ell+1)^{-1}$, $iC_{i-1} = (\ell-i+1)C_i$ for $i > 0$. Define the C^∞ -class $\mathbb{R}(\ell)$ -valued ℓ -form $\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell$ on $H^+ \times A^\ell$ by formula

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell = -(2\pi i)^\ell \sum_{i,k=0}^{\ell} 2\pi C_i y_k (\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{(-)}{dz_k} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{(-)}{dz_\ell})^{(i, \ell-i)}$$

Here y_k is imaginary part of z_k , dz_i means either dz_i or its complex conjugate, the form under the brackets is the sum of all possible products of forms dz_i with dz_k missed.

For example $\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^1 = (4\pi^2 i)[-y_0(\overline{dz_1} - dz_1) + y_1(\overline{dz_0} - dz_0)]$. The direct computation shows that $d\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell = (\frac{dq}{q} \wedge \alpha^\ell)^\ell$.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_R^\ell$ consider the unique C^∞ -class ℓ -form on X^ℓ such that its inverse image to $(H^+ \times A^\ell) \times (G \times V^\ell)$ coincides with $\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell \cdot \varphi$; denote this form also by $\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell \cdot \varphi$. Define the form $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}^\ell(X, \mathbb{R}(\ell))$ by the formula $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi) := p_*(\alpha_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell \cdot \varphi)$. Since the series converges absolutely, the definition is correct, and the above implies that $dE_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi) = [E^\ell(\varphi)]^\ell$. So $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi)$ defines an element (of the same notation) in $H_{\mathbb{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$ (see [2] 5.7.1). Since the arrow defined by $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell: \mathcal{J}_R^\ell \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$ obviously commutes with G -action, it coincides with E^ℓ from the beginning of the no.

2.3. A product of Eisenstein series. Denote by $\mathcal{E}^\ell(\varphi)$ the section of $\omega_{C^\infty}^\ell$ on M which is the $(\ell, 0)$ component of the form $E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi)$ along the fibers of π ; one has

$$\mathcal{E}^\ell(\varphi) = p_*(\varphi \cdot \frac{2\pi y_0}{\ell+1} \alpha^\ell).$$

Let α be any $\ell+1$ -form on X^ℓ with log-singularities at ∞ . Consider $(1, 0)$ -form $\alpha \cdot \mathcal{E}^\ell(\varphi)$ on M (see 2.1.0). Since $\pi^1_*(dz_1 \wedge \overline{dz_1}) = \pi^{-1}y$, the projection formula shows that

$$\alpha \cdot \mathcal{E}^\ell(\varphi) = p_*(2^{2\ell+1} \cdot \pi^{\ell+1} \cdot (\ell+1)^{-1} \cdot y^{\ell+1} \varphi \cdot \alpha(q) \frac{dq}{q}).$$

Now consider a form $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell(\varphi_2)) \in H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{R}(\ell+2)) = H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(\ell+1))$. According to [2] (5.7.1) one has

$$\pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2)) = (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) - (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}} -$$

this is a closed 1-form on \bar{M} .

Lemma 2.3.1. This form is the restriction to \bar{M} of a certain closed current on \bar{M} .

Proof: Assume that we live on a certain $K\backslash M$. Let x be a parabolic point, c_1, c_2 be the values of φ_1, φ_2 at x . Then, for a certain parameter q at x , one has $E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) = (c_1 \frac{dq}{q} + \mathcal{E}_1)(c_2 (\log|q|)^{t+1} + f_2)$, where \mathcal{E}_1 is a 1-form holomorphic at x , and f_2 is a certain continuous function s.t. $f_2(x) = 0$. So $E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) = c_1 c_2 (\log|q|)^{t+1} \frac{dq}{q} + u_{12}$, where u_{12} is an L^1 -class form s.t. du is also of class L^1 . This shows that $E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2)$ is restriction to $K\backslash M$ of the, same noted, current on \bar{M} defined as $2c_1 c_2 (t+2)^{-1} (d(\log|q|)^{t+1})^{(1,0)} + u_{12}$, where $u_{12}, (\log|q|)^{t+1}$ are L^1 -class currents on \bar{M} . Now $(E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) - (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}}$ is either $(u_{12} - u_{21})^{\overline{1}}$ if t is even, or $2 \cdot (t+2)^{-1} \cdot c_1 c_2 d(\log|q|)^{t+2} + (u_{12} + u_{21})$ if t is odd. Clearly these are closed currents. \square

Now consider $E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_i)$ as t -forms on X^t . We have the function $[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] := \pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \wedge E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2))$ on M . One has

$$(2.3.2) \quad d[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = [E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) + (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1)]^{\overline{t}}.$$

Moreover, clearly $[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]$ has asymptotic $c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot (\log|q|)^{t+1}$ at a parabolic point; so it defines L^1 -class current on \bar{M} and 2.3.2 holds as equality between currents on \bar{M} . This fact implies the following lemma, that will be important for us in §4.

Lemma 2.3.3. For any holomorphic 1-form ω on \bar{M} one has $(\omega, \pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2))) = (-1)^{t+1} (\omega, E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2))$.

Proof: If ω_1, ω_2 are $(1,0)$ -forms, then $\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 2 \cdot (-1)^t \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ for any t . So by 2.3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \pi_*^t(E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t(\varphi_2)) &= \omega \wedge (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) + (-1)^{t+1} E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t+1}} \\ &= -\omega \wedge (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) + (-1)^{t+1} E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1))^{\overline{t}} \\ &= -2\omega \wedge (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2))^{\overline{t}} + \omega \wedge d[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = (-1)^{t+1} \omega \wedge \overline{E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2)} \\ &\quad + d(\omega \cdot [\varphi_1, \varphi_2]). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

2.4. A formula for regulator. Note that since $\dim X^t = t+1$ and M is not compact, the Leray spectral sequence shows that one has a commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_B^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(2t+1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{H}}^{2t+2}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(2t+2)) \\ \downarrow \pi_*^t & & \downarrow \pi_*^t \\ H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) \otimes v^{\otimes t} & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{R}(t+2)) \otimes v^{\otimes t} \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.4.1. For $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H_{\mathbb{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$ one has $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2 = E_{\mathbb{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi_1 \cup E_{\mathbb{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi_2$.

Proof: Since ψ and $E_{\mathbb{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi$ have the same residues it suffices to show that $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2$ depends only on residues at ψ_1 , or, equivalently, that $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \psi_1 = 0$ implies that $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2 = 0$.

Since the map $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{H}}^t: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ is injective (cf. the end of 2.1.3) and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t = \mathcal{E}(H_{\mathbb{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t+1)))$, the kernel of residue map on this cohomology group coincides with $\alpha(H_B^t(X^t, \mathbb{R}(t)))$. Since one has $\alpha(x) \cup y = \alpha(x \cup \mathcal{E}(y))$ (cf. [2] no 1), the needed fact would follow from the nullity of \cup -product pairing $H^t(X^t, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow H^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R})(t+1)$. The Leray spectral sequence of π^t reduces to two-step filtration on $H^t(X^t)$, compatible with \cup -product: $H^t(1) \subset H^t(X^t)$, $H^t(0) := H^t(X^t)/H^t(1)$. Since any $t+1$ -form being restricted to the fibers is zero, one has $\psi^t \subset H^{t+1}(1)$ and so the \cup -product factors through $H^t(0) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow H^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R})(t+1)$. Now the Hodge structure on $H^t(X^t, \mathbb{R})^{(0)}$ is Tate's structure of weight t , the Hodge structure on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ is the one of weight 0, and the Hodge structure on $H^{2t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{R})(t+1) \xrightarrow{\pi_*^t} H^1(M, \mathbb{R})(1)$ has weights 0, -1. Since $t \neq 0$, the map $H^t(0) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow H^{2t+1}$ is zero. \square

Now let us return to 1.2.

Theorem 2.4.2. a) One has $H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}} \subset H^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (for $t > 0$).

b) The subspace $r_{\mathbb{H}}(H_{\mathbb{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2))^{\text{parab}}) \subset H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$

is generated by elements $\pi_*^t(E_{\mathcal{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathcal{H}}^t(\varphi_2)) = (E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_2) - (-1)^t E^t(\varphi_2) \cdot \bar{E}^t(\varphi_1))^{\frac{t+1}{2}}$, where the φ_i run through $\text{Res}_{H_{\mathcal{H}}^t}^t(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$.

Proof: Clearly the functoriality of $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ together with 2.4.1 imply that $r_{\mathcal{H}} \pi_*^t(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = \pi_*^t(r_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) \cup r_{\mathcal{H}}(\beta)) = \pi_*^t(E_{\mathcal{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathcal{H}}^t \alpha \cup E_{\mathcal{H}}^t \text{Res}_{\mathcal{H}}^t \beta)$. To prove a) consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+2)) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathcal{H}}^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(t+2)) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathcal{H}}^1(M^{\infty}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) & \rightarrow & H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) & \rightarrow & H_B^0(M^{\infty}, \mathbb{R}(t)) \end{array}$$

whose rows are localization sequences and columns are regulator maps. Since the right vertical arrow is injective by Borel's theorem, a) follows from the fact that $\pi_*^t(E_{\mathcal{H}}^t(\varphi_1) \cup E_{\mathcal{H}}^t(\varphi_2)) \in H_B^1(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1))$ (see 2.3.4), and Lemma 1.2. \square

This theorem proves Theorem 1.2 in case $t > 0$. \square

3. EISENSTEIN SYMBOLS.

In this section we will show that residue maps $\text{Res}_{H_{\mathcal{H}}^t}^t(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}^t$ are surjective for $t > 0$ (the case $t = 0$ is just Manin-Drinfeld theorem of §5). To do this we will construct the Eisenstein map $E_{\mathcal{H}}^t: \mathfrak{J}^t \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{H}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$ right inverse to Res^t (and such that $r_{\mathcal{H}} E_{\mathcal{H}}^t = E_{\mathcal{H}}^t$).

3.1. Construction of Eisenstein symbols. For an abelian scheme A/S and $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $[L] \in \text{End } A/S$ be the multiplication by L endomorphism, $L^A := \text{Ker}[L]$ be the subscheme of order L points, and $L^U(A) := A \setminus L^A$.

Let $U \subset X$ denote the complement of all finite order points. More precisely, for compact open $W \subset V$ put $W^U := \cap_L L^U(W \setminus X)$: then $U := \lim_{\leftarrow} W^U \subset X$. Clearly the action of $G \ltimes V$ on X leaves U invariant, so we have the induced $G \ltimes V$ -action on U . The scheme \mathcal{U} is a projective limit of schemes

$L^U(KW \setminus X^t)$ of finite type over \mathbb{Q} under the family of affine morphisms (so one has $H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \varinjlim H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(L^U(KW \setminus X^t), \mathbb{Q}(*))$).

Consider the "abelian" scheme $X^{t+1} := X \times \dots \times X$ (a projective limit of abelian schemes under isogenies); let $p_i: X^{t+1} \rightarrow X$, $i = 0, \dots, t$ be the i -th projection. Define the "abelian" subscheme $X^{t'} \subset X^{t+1}$ to be the kernel of $P := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq t} p_i: X^{t+1} \rightarrow X$, let $p'_i := p_i|_{X^{t'}}$ (clearly $X^{t'}$ is isomorphic to X^t via the isomorphism (p'_1, \dots, p'_t)). Put $U^{t+1} := U \times \dots \times U$, $U^{t'} := X^{t'} \cap U^{t+1}$. On the schemes X^{t+1} , U^{t+1} the following groups act: G , the permutation group Σ_{t+1} (permutations of p_i), and V^{t+1} . The first two of them leave $X^{t'}$ invariant; the stabilizer of $X^{t'}$ in V^{t+1} is $V^{t'} := \text{Ker}(P := \sum p_i: V^{t+1} \rightarrow V)$ in obvious notations. So one has the action of semi-direct product $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t+1}$ on X^{t+1} , U^{t+1} , and the one of $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t'}$ on $X^{t'}$ and $U^{t'}$.

Before going further, let me introduce some notations. If H is any group and \mathcal{M} is an H -module, then $\mathcal{M}_H := H_0(H, \mathcal{M})$ denotes the maximal factor space on which H acts trivially. So if $\mathbb{1}$ is any $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t'}$ -module, then $\mathbb{1}_{V^{t'}}$ is naturally a $G \times \Sigma_{t+1}$ -module. If $\mathbb{1}$ is any \mathbb{Q}^* -module and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathbb{1}_a$ be the maximal factor-module of weight a of $\mathbb{1}$, i.e., the maximal factor space s.t. an element $r \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{1}_a$ by multiplication on r^{-a} . If $\mathbb{1}$ is in fact G -module, then it is \mathbb{Q}^* -module by $\mathbb{Q}^* \subset A^{f^*} = \text{Center } G$, and $\mathbb{1}_a$ is naturally a G -module. If Δ is a Σ_{t+1} -module, let Δ_{sgn} be the component of Δ on which Σ_{t+1} acts by character sgn (this is canonically direct summand of Δ). We will combine these notations for $(G \times \Sigma_{t+1}) \ltimes V^{t'}$ -modules: e.g. such a module $\mathbb{1}$ defines G -module $\mathbb{1}_{a, \text{sgn}, V^{t'}}$.

The following basic result will be proved in 3.2.

Theorem 3.1.1. a) The group $V^{t'}$ acts on $H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ trivially.

b) G -modules $H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$ have weight t , i.e., $H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{t, \text{sgn}}$.

c) Consider the restriction map $H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(X^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{U}}^*(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$.

The induced G-map $H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\ell, \text{sgn}, V^{\ell'}}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Now consider the $G \ltimes V$ -module $\mathcal{T} := H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(U, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \mathcal{O}(U) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The space $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \ell}$ is naturally a $(G \times \Sigma_{\ell+1}) \ltimes V^{\ell+1}$ -module: the group $\Sigma_{\ell+1}$ acts by $\sigma(f_0 \otimes \dots \otimes f_{\ell}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) f_{\sigma^{-1}(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{\sigma^{-1}(\ell)}$. Clearly the map

$\langle \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ given by the formula $\langle f_0, \dots, f_{\ell} \rangle := [p_0^*(f_0), \dots, p_{\ell}^*(f_{\ell})]$ is a $(G \times \Sigma_{\ell+1}) \ltimes V^{\ell'}$ -morphism. It defines a G-morphism $\langle \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ $\xrightarrow[\ell, \text{sgn}, V^{\ell'}]{3.1.1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))_{\text{sgn}}$ $\subset H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ which we call the Eisenstein symbol map. Our first aim is to compute explicitly the source of $\langle \cdot \rangle$.

Again some simple technical notations and remarks. For a commutative p -adic type group W denote by $\psi(W)$, the space of \mathbb{Q} -valued Schwartz-Bruhat functions on W with obvious W -module structure, and let $\psi(W) \subset \psi(W)$ be the submodule of functions of invariant integral zero. More precisely, one has an integration map $\int: \psi(W) \rightarrow v_W$, where v_W is the space dual to the (1 -dimensional) space of \mathbb{Q} -valued invariant measures on W , and $\psi(W) := \text{Ker } \int$. We have the following easy

Lemma 3.1.2. a) The arrow \int identifies $\psi(W)_W$ with v_W . One has $\psi(W)_W = 0$. \square

b) For $\ell > 0$, let $P: W^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow W$ be the sum of projections. Put $W^{\ell'} := \text{Ker } P$; one has $v_{W^{\ell'}} = v_{W^{\ell+1}} \otimes v_W^{-1} = v_W^{\otimes \ell}$. The integration along the fibers map $P_*: \psi(W)^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow \psi(W^{\ell+1})$ $\rightarrow \psi(W) \otimes v_W^{\otimes \ell}$ induces isomorphisms $\psi(W)^{\otimes \ell+1} \xrightarrow{W^{\ell'}} \psi(W) \otimes v_W^{\otimes \ell}$, $\psi(W)^{\otimes \ell+1} \xrightarrow{W^{\ell'}} \psi(W) \otimes v_W^{\otimes \ell}$. \square

We need the case $W = V$. The group G acts on $v = v_V$ by the character $|\det|$ (so v has weight 2), and 3.1.2 implies

Corollary 3.1.3. There is a natural G-isomorphism

$\psi(V)^{\otimes \ell+1} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}, V^{\ell'}} \psi(V)^{\otimes \ell+1} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}, V^{\ell'}} \psi(V) \otimes v_V^{\otimes \ell}$. \square

Since the divisors of degree zero supported on points of finite order of elliptic curves have finite order in Pic , the divisor map defines the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \Phi(V) \rightarrow 0$ of $G \ltimes V$ -modules where $\mathcal{J}_0 := \mathcal{O}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This defines a filtration of length $\ell+2$ on $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes \ell+1}$ whose smallest subspace (the 0-th graded factor) is $\mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1}$ and smallest factor-space (the $\ell+2$ -one) is $\Phi(V)^{\otimes \ell+1}$.

Lemma 3.1.4. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow \Phi(V)^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Proof: Since the functor $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\ell, \text{sgn}, V^{\ell'}}$ is exact, it suffices to show that if L^i is the i -th graded factor of the above filtration and $i \neq 0, \ell+2$, then $L^i_{V^{\ell'}} = 0$. But L^i is the direct sum of modules of type $\Phi(V)^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1-i}$, and $(\Phi(V)^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1-i})_{V^{\ell'}} = \Phi(V)^{\otimes i}_{V^{\ell'}} \otimes \mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1-i}$. If $i \neq \ell+1$ this equals $\Phi(V)^{\otimes i}_{V^{\ell'}} \otimes \mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1-i}$; if $i \neq 0$ this is zero by 3.1.2a). \square

Lemma 3.1.5. The sgn-component of $\langle \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ is zero on $\mathcal{J}_0^{\otimes \ell+1}$.

Proof: For $f_i \in \mathcal{J}_0$ one clearly has $\langle f_0, \dots, f_{\ell} \rangle = \pi^* \{f_0, \dots, f_{\ell}\} \in \pi^* H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(M, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) \subset H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(U^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$. But the elements of $\pi^* H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(M)$ are obviously invariant under $\Sigma_{\ell+1}$ -action. \square

Clearly 3.1.4 and 3.1.5 imply

Corollary 3.1.6. The Eisenstein symbol $\langle \cdot \rangle$ factors (uniquely) through $\mathcal{J}_{\ell, \text{sgn}, V}^{\otimes \ell+1} \rightarrow \Phi(V)_{\ell, \text{sgn}, V}^{\otimes \ell+1}$. \square

And now 3.1.3 together with 3.1.6 define the Eisenstein map $\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{M}}: (\Phi(V) \otimes v_V^{\otimes \ell})_{-\ell} = \Phi(V)_{-\ell} \otimes v_V^{\otimes \ell} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{\ell+1}(X^{\ell'}, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$.

Finally recall the construction of horispherical isomorphism $\tau: \Phi(V)_{-\ell} \otimes v_V^{\otimes \ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}^{\ell}$. First for any \mathbb{Q} -valued Schwartz-Bruhat function g on A^f let $L(g, s)$ denote the corresponding L-function (i.e., $L(g, s)$ is the analytic continuation of the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(n) \cdot n^{-s}$ that converges absolutely for $\text{Re } s > 1$). One knows that if g is \mathbb{Q} -valued then $L(g, -\ell-1) \in \mathcal{J}_{-\ell}$, and

$L(\cdot, -t-1) : \mathbb{A}^f_{-t-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism (weight $-t-1$) is taken with respect to the \mathbb{Q}^{*+} -action on \mathbb{A}^f s.t. $(rg)(x) = g(r^{-1}x)$. For a function $f \in \mathbb{A}(V)$ consider $f_1 \in \mathbb{A}^f$ defined by the formula $f_1(x) = \int f(x, y) dy$ (dy is standard invariant measure on \mathbb{A}^f); for $g \in G$ put $\tilde{r}(f)(g) := L((g^{-1}f)_1, -t-1)$. Clearly $\tilde{r}(f)$ is \mathbb{Q} -valued function on G s.t. $\tilde{r}(f)(g(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix})) = \tilde{r}(f)(g) \cdot a^{-t-1} \cdot |d|^{-1}$ for any $d \in \mathbb{A}^{*f}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{*+}$. Define the desired map $\tau : \mathbb{A}(V)_{-t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}^{*t} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^t$ by the formula $\tau(f) = \tilde{r}(f) \cdot |\det|^{-t}$. It is easy to see that τ is a G -isomorphism.

Put $E^t := \tilde{E}^0 \tau^{-1} : \mathbb{A}^t \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{t+1}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))$; here we identified X^t with \mathbb{A}^t via (p_1, \dots, p_t) . We have the following theorem to be proved in 3.3.

Theorem 3.1.7. One has $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{M}}^t E^t = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{A}^t}$. □

Corollary 3.1.8. $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{M}}^t$ is surjective. □

Clearly 3.1.7 implies that $r_{\mathcal{M}}^t E^t = E^t$ (see 2.2).

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1.1. First recall the standard motivic decompositions of elliptic curves. Let $p: E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve over some scheme S , and $e: S \rightarrow E$ be zero section. Put $R := H_S^2(E \times_S E, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \text{Pic}(E \times_S E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then multiplication of correspondences defines ring structure on R : if $\alpha, \beta \in R$ then $\alpha * \beta := P_{13*}(P_{12}^*(\alpha) \cdot P_{23}^*(\beta))$ where $P_{ij}: E \times_S E \times_S E \rightarrow E \times_S E$ is projection on (i, j) -pair. The unit 1 for $*$ is the class of diagonal. The transposition $E \times_S E \rightarrow E \times_S E$ defines an involution $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^t$ of R : one has $(\alpha * \beta)^t = \beta^t * \alpha^t$. One has natural R -module structure on any $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$: if $\alpha \in R$, $\beta \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$, then $\alpha * \beta := p_{1*}(\{\alpha, p_1^*\beta\})$, where $p_1: E \times_S E \rightarrow E$ is i -th projection. If f is any endomorphisms of the scheme E over S , then its graph Γ_f is an element of R and one has $\Gamma_{f_1} * \Gamma_{f_2} = \Gamma_{f_1} * \Gamma_{f_2}$; if $\alpha \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(i))$, then $\Gamma_f * \alpha = f_*(\alpha)$, $\Gamma_f * \alpha = f^*(\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.2.1. If $a \in E(S)$ is a point of finite order on E , then the graph of translation by a is equivalent to 1 in R . So this translation acts trivially on any $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$. □

Now consider the elements $P_0 := E \times e$, $P_2 := e \times E = r_{e \times p} = t_{P_0}$ and $P_1 := 1 - P_0 - P_2$ of R . Then for any $\alpha \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ one has $P_0 * \alpha = P^* e^*(\alpha)$, $P_2 * \alpha = e_* P_*(\alpha)$, $P_1 * \alpha = \alpha - P^* e^* \alpha - e_* P_*(\alpha)$. One has the following well known

Lemma 3.2.2. The elements P_i are mutually orthogonal projectors that define spectral decomposition of elements $\Gamma_{[L]} \in R$. Namely one has $P_i^2 = P_i$, $P_i P_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\Gamma_{[L]} * P_i = P_i * \Gamma_{[L]} = L^{2-i} P_i$ for any $L \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

For any $i = 0, 1, 2$ put $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)} := P_i H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))$. By the lemma $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \bigoplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)}$ and $[L]$ acts on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)}$ by multiplication by L^i .

Remark 3.2.3. Consider the tower $E \xrightarrow{[N]} E$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, of isogenies; put $\tilde{E} := \varprojlim_{[N]} E$. Then, since any $[N]$:

$H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i)}$ is an isomorphism, one has $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ and one has natural decomposition $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(1)}$ spectral for operators $[L]^*$, $L \in \mathbb{Q}$. In 3.2.5-3.2.7 we will refer to such a scheme (e.g. to the scheme X over M) as an elliptic curve without any commentaries.

Consider the localization sequence $\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{j-2}(S, \mathbb{Q}(i-1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ where $\mathbb{A}_1 := E \times_S E \xrightarrow{e_*} E$. Since $P_* e_* = \text{id}$ the arrow e_* is injective and j^* is surjective. More precisely, we have isomorphisms $e_*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^{j-2}(S, \mathbb{Q}(i-1)) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))^{(2)}$, $j^*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))^{(0)} \oplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(i))^{(1)} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{Q}(i))$.

Now suppose that $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ is invertible in \mathcal{O}_S and we are given a level N structure on E . Then $[N]: E \rightarrow E$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ -Galois covering; consider the induced covering $[N]: \mathbb{A}_1^N(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_1^N$. Note that for any G -Galois covering $f: X \rightarrow Y$ one has $f^*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(Y, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(*))^G = H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}(*))_G$ since K -theory modulo torsion has etale descent. By 3.2.1 $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ acts on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ trivially and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) & \xrightarrow{j_N^*} & H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\mathbb{A}_1^N, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \\ \uparrow [N]^* & & \uparrow \\ H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{\mathcal{M}}^j(\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \end{array}$$

implies:

Lemma 3.2.4. The kernel of the restriction map $j_N^* H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(N^* U, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ is $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(2)}$, and j_N^* induces the isomorphism $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(0)} \oplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(E, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(N^* U, \mathbb{Q}(*))$. $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$. \square

3.2.5. Let us begin the proof of 3.1.1. First suppose that $t = 1$. Then X^1 is an elliptic curve over M (cf. 3.2.3), and so 3.2.1 implies 3.1.1 a); 3.2.2 implies 3.2.1 b) since the action of transposition $\sigma \in \Sigma_2$ coincides with $[-1]$; finally 3.2.4 implies 3.1.1 c).

3.2.6. To treat the case $t > 1$ note that the scheme X^t is naturally an elliptic curve over X^{t-1} via any of the projections $q_j^t := (p_0, \dots, \hat{p}_j, \dots, p_{t-1}) : X^t \rightarrow X^{t-1}$. The finite order point translations for elliptic curve q_j^t correspond to the action of the elements of V^t whose components are all zero but j -th one. By 3.2.1 these elements act trivially on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$, and so does the whole group V^t . It is easy to see that the projectors P_i^t on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$, that correspond to different q_j^t by 3.2.2, mutually commute, and so we have decomposition $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*)) = \bigoplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_0, \dots, i_{t-1})}$ sum over all (i_0, \dots, i_{t-1}) s.t. $0 \leq i_j \leq 2$. For any

$\vec{L} = (L_0, \dots, L_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^t$ define $[\vec{L}] \in \text{End } X^t/M$ by the formula $[\vec{L}](x_0, \dots, x_{t-1}) = ([L_0]x_0, \dots, [L_{t-1}]x_{t-1})$, one has $[\vec{L}]_{X^t} = [(\vec{L}, \dots, \vec{L})]$. Since $[\vec{L}] = \prod [L_j]_j$ where $[L_j]_j$ is the $[L_j]$ -endomorphism for elliptic curve q_j^t , the action of $[\vec{L}]^*$ on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_0, \dots, i_{t-1})}$ coincides with multiplication by $\prod L_j^{i_j}$ and $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(i_0, \dots, i_{t-1})}$ is determined uniquely by this property.

3.2.7. Now consider the isomorphism $I := (p'_0, \dots, p'_{t-1}) : X^t \rightarrow X^t$. The action of V^t on X^t corresponds to the action of V^t on X^t , so the above proves 3.1.1 a). To prove 3.1.1 b) it suffices to show that $T := I_*(H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}) \subset K' := H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(1, \dots, 1)}$. This follows from two facts:

- a) Projection of T in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(2, \dots, 1)}$ is zero
- b) The Σ_{t+1} -subspace of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$, generated by

$I^* H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))^{(0, \dots, 1)}$ has zero sgn-component. In fact, by Σ_t -invariance, a) implies that $T \subset K' := \bigoplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(\)^{(i_j)}$, sum over all (i_j) s.t. $i_j = 0, 1$. In the same way, b) implies that $T \cap K'' = 0$, where $K'' := \bigoplus H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(\)^{(i_j)}$ sum over all (i_j) s.t. $i_j = 0, 1$ and some i_j is 0. Note that $K'' = K' \oplus K'''$ and for any $L \in \mathbb{Q}$ the operator $[L]^*$ acts on K' by multiplication by L^t , and has eigenvalues $\langle L^t \rangle$ on K'' . Since T is $[L]$ -invariant, this implies that $T \subset K'$. q.e.d.

Now to prove a) and b) consider the map $s = q_0 \circ I = (p'_1, \dots, p'_{t-2}) : X^t \rightarrow X^{t-1}$. This map is invariant under the transposition in Σ_{t+1} that permutes p'_0 and p'_t . This implies that $S_* H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}} = 0$ and that sgn-component of Σ_{t+1} -space, generated by $S_* H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ is zero. Since the projection from a) is $e_{0*} s_*$, and the subspace in b) is $S_* H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))$, these facts are proven.

3.2.8. It remains to prove 3.1.1 c). It suffices to show that $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}, V^t}$ decomposes under the action of operators $[L]^*$, $L \in \mathbb{Q}$ into the sum of eigenspaces with eigenvalues $L^t, L^{t+1}, \dots, L^{2t-1}$, and the L^t -eigenspace is just $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$ (in fact one may show that L^t -eigenspace is isomorphic to $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(X^{2t-i}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\text{sgn}}$). We will do this using the induction by t ; for $t = 1$ this was shown in 3.2.5. So suppose we know the fact for $t' < t$.

First note that $I : X^{t'} \xrightarrow{\sim} X^t$ identifies $U^{t'}$ with $U^t \cap P_t^{t-1}(U)$, where $P_t^{t-1} : X^{t'} \rightarrow X^t$ is the sum of projections. So the connected component $P_t^{t-1}(e)$ of $U^t \setminus U^{t'}$ is U^{t-1} ; V^t acts on the connected components transitively with stabilizer $V^{t'}$. The scheme $U^t \setminus U^{t'}$ is projective limit of schemes $W \setminus (U^t \setminus U^{t'})$ of finite type over M ($W \subset V^t$ is compact open). One has a canonical isomorphism $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U^t \setminus U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{t-1}} = H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{t-1}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(V)$ (cf. 3.1.2) and so canonical G -isomorphism $H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U^t \setminus U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^t} = H_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^{t-1}} \otimes V$. Now consider the localization sequence of the pair $(U^t, U^{t'})$. One has a corresponding exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^t} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^t},$$

$$\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(U^t, U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{V^t} = H_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(U^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{V^{t-1}} \otimes v.$$

This is a sequence of Σ_t -modules, so we get an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t},$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta} H_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(U^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^{t-1}} \otimes v$$

where $\overline{\text{sgn}}$ is the sgn-character of Σ_t . Using 3.2.4 the same way as in 3.2.6 one sees that $j^*: H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ is epimorphic, so any element of $\text{Ker } \delta$ came from $X^{t'}$.

Moreover j^* induces an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{i,j} H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{(i,j)} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$, sum over all (i,j) s.t. $i_j = 0, 1$. In particular, $[L]^*$, $L \in \mathbb{Q}$, acts on $H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))$ with eigenvalues $1, L, \dots, L^t$ and j^* is injective on $I^* H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t}$ by 3.2.7. But the induction hypothesis says that $[L]^*$ acts on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(U^{t-1}, \mathbb{Q}(*-1))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^{t-1}} \otimes v$ with eigenvalues L^{t+1}, \dots, L^{2t-1} . So the long exact sequence splits into short ones, $H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t}$ is injective, and so $H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t}$ is injective. Since $H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}} = H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{V^t} \cap H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^{t'}, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t}$ (as $\overline{\text{sgn}}$ is exact functor) the eigenvalues of $[L]^*$ on $H_{\mathcal{M}}(U^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}, V^t} / H_{\mathcal{M}}(X^t, \mathbb{Q}(*))_{\overline{\text{sgn}}}$ are L^{t+1}, \dots, L^{2t-1} . This together with 3.1.1 b) proves the induction hypothesis for t .

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1.7. Let us reformulate a little the statement of the theorem using the Fourier transform instead of τ . For a Schwartz-Bruhat function α on A^f put $\hat{\alpha}(x) := \int \alpha(y) \overline{\tau}(xy) dy$, where $\tau: A^f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is the standard additive character: $\tau(y) = \exp(2\pi i y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{Q}$; for a function φ on $V := A^f / A^f$ put $\hat{\varphi}(x) := \int \varphi(y) \overline{\tau}(\langle x, y \rangle) dy$ where $\langle x, y \rangle := x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2$ and dy is the standard measure. Recall that the functional equation relates $L(\alpha, s)$ with $L(\hat{\alpha}, 1-s)$; we need the case $s = -t-1$: for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}(A^f)$ s.t. $\alpha(-x) = (-1)^t \alpha(x)$ one has

$L(\alpha, -t-1) = 2(-2\pi i)^{-t-2} (t+1)! L(\hat{\alpha}, t+2)$; if $\alpha(-x) = (-1)^{t-1} \alpha(x)$ then $L(\alpha, -t-1) = 0$. Now consider G -map $\tilde{E}^t: \mathbb{F}(V)_{(-t)} \otimes V^t \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ s.t. for $(z, g) \in H^+ \times G$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{F}(V)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^t(\varphi)(z, g) &= 2(-2\pi i)^{-t-2} (t+1)! \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(t g^{-1}(n_1, n_2))}{(n_1 z + n_2)^{t+2}} \frac{dg}{q} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \\ &\quad (\text{cf. 2.1.3}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly one has $\tilde{E}^t(\varphi) = E^t(\tau \varphi)$, so to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $r_{E^t}(\varphi) = \tilde{E}^t(\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathbb{F}(V) \otimes V^{\otimes t}$. To do this we will compute, after some preliminaries in no. 3.3.1-3.3.2, the left hand side explicitly.

3.3.1. For notations cf. the beginning of §2. Let Z be a smooth variety and $i: \mathcal{D} = \bigcup \mathcal{D}_j \subset Z$ be a divisor. If a holomorphic form ω on $Z \setminus \mathcal{D}$ has log-singularities along \mathcal{D} then ω is locally of class L^1 on Z . So ω defines L^1 -class current c_ω or simply ω on Z . Note that this inclusion $\Omega_Z^*(\log \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_Z \otimes \mathcal{C}[-2 \dim Z]$ does not commute with differentials. For example, if $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(Z \setminus \mathcal{D})$, then $d(c_d \log f) = \delta \text{div } f$ where for any divisor \mathcal{D}' we put $\delta_{\mathcal{D}'} :=$ fundamental class of \mathcal{D}' considered as current on Z .

Now let $\pi: Z \rightarrow T$ be a smooth proper map of relative dimension n s.t. \mathcal{D} is transversal to the fibers of π . If $v \in \mathcal{E}(Z)$, $\omega \in \Omega_Z^*(\log \mathcal{D})$ then the current $\pi_*(\omega \wedge v)$ is of class C^∞ and we may compute its value at any point $t \in T$ integrating $(\omega \wedge v)^\#$ along $\pi^{-1}(t)$.

3.3.2. Let us return to the modular curve. We will write X instead of $X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (where $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\zeta_n \mapsto \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$) and so on, for short. Clearly X/M considered as C^∞ -class group variety over M , has a canonical integrable connection. In particular for any holomorphic 1-form $v \in \Omega^1(X/M) = \omega(M)$ one has canonical $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(X)$ s.t. $\tilde{v}^\# = v$. One has $(p_1 + p_2)^*(\tilde{v}) = p_1^*(\tilde{v}) + p_2^*(\tilde{v})$ (here $p_i: X \times X \rightarrow X$ are projections), and \tilde{v} is uniquely determined by this property.

From now on fix such v non-zero at any point (we may work locally on M ; e.g. we may pass to $H^+ \times G$). Put $w := \pi_*(v \wedge d\tilde{v})$.

This is holomorphic 1-form on M (the Kodaira-Spencer transform of v), non-zero at any point. So for any $(1,0)$ -form φ on X one has $\varphi = \alpha \tilde{v} + \beta w$ for some functions $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}^0(X)$. Let $\nu := \pi_*(v \wedge \bar{v}) \in \mathcal{E}^0(M)$ be the volume of the fibers function; one has $(v \wedge d\tilde{v})^\# = \nu^{-1} w \cdot v \wedge \bar{v}$.

Consider the local system $\Gamma := R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{Q}(1))$ on M . Our form v defines the embedding $v: \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$, $\gamma \mapsto v(\gamma) := \pi_*(v \wedge \gamma)$ and $X = \mathbb{C} \times M/\Gamma$. Now note that Γ coincides with the local system of characters of the fibers of π , since for any elliptic curve E over \mathbb{C} , viewed as topological group, one has $(\text{characters of } E) := \text{Hom}(E, S^1) = H^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(1))$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ denote by x_γ the corresponding character; the differential of x_γ along the fibers is $\nu^{-1}(v(\gamma) \bar{v} - \bar{v}(\gamma) \cdot v) \cdot x_\gamma$.

For any current $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ define the Γ^* -valued current $\hat{\alpha}$ on M - Fourier transform of α - by the formula $\hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = \pi_*(\alpha x_\gamma)$. Also if φ is a function on X put $\hat{\varphi} := \nu^{-1} \widehat{\varphi \cdot v \wedge \bar{v}}$. Clearly one has $\widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \varphi}(\gamma) = \nu^{-1} v(\gamma) \hat{\varphi}(\gamma)$; if φ is continuous, then $e^*(\varphi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{\varphi}(\gamma)$.

This Fourier transform is related with the one on V as follows. The functions on V are divisors on X supported on $X \setminus U$; so one has the map $\delta: \psi(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-2}(X, \mathbb{R}(-1))$ (cf. 3.3.1). We have $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}|_{\Gamma}$.

Now consider some $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(U)$; put $d \log f = \alpha \tilde{v} + \beta w$.

Lemma 3.3.2. One has $\hat{\alpha}(0) = 0$; if $\gamma \neq 0$, then $\hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = -v(\gamma)^{-1} \widehat{\text{div } f}(\gamma)$, $\hat{\beta}(\gamma) = v(\gamma)^{-2} \widehat{\text{div } f}(\gamma)$.

Proof: Since the current $d \log f + \overline{d \log f}$ is the differential of L^1 -class current $2 \log|f|$, one has $\hat{\alpha}(0) = \nu^{-1} \pi_*(d \log f \wedge \bar{v}) = 2\nu^{-1} \pi_*(d \log|f| \wedge \bar{v}) = 2\nu^{-1} \pi_*(d(\log|f| \wedge \bar{v})) = 0$. Now we have $\delta \text{div } f = d(\text{d log } f)^\# = (da \wedge \tilde{v})^\# = -\frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{v}} v \wedge \bar{v}$, and so $\widehat{\text{div } f}(\gamma) = -\nu \frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{v}}(\gamma) = -v(\gamma) \hat{\alpha}(\gamma)$. To prove the formula for β , note that $0 = (\tilde{v} \wedge d(d \log f))^\# = (\alpha \tilde{v} \wedge d\tilde{v} + \tilde{v} \wedge d\beta \wedge w)^\# = (\alpha \nu^{-1} + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \bar{v}}) w \wedge v \wedge \bar{v}$. So $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \bar{v}} = -\alpha \nu^{-1}$ and $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \bar{v}}(\gamma) = \nu^{-1} v(\gamma) \hat{\beta}(\gamma) = -\nu^{-1} \hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = v(\gamma)^{-2} \widehat{\text{div } f}(\gamma)$. \square

3.3.3. Now we may begin to prove Theorem 3.1.7. Put $v^\ell := p_1^{*\#}(v) \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\#}(v) \in \Omega^\ell(X^\ell/M)$ and consider the arrow

$q: F^{\ell+1} H_{\text{DR}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell) = \{\ell+1\text{-forms with log-singularities at } \infty \text{ on } U^\ell\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(M)$, $q(\omega) = \pi_*^{\ell+1}(\omega \wedge \bar{v}^\ell)$ (cf. 3.1.1). If $\omega \in F^{\ell+1} H_{\text{DR}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell)$ then clearly one has

$\omega = (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} q(\omega) \wedge v^\ell$. The arrow q obviously factors through $F^{\ell+1} H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell) \xrightarrow{\iota, \text{sgn}, v^\ell} F^{\ell+1} H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell)$, and so the composition of q with $d \log = r_{\text{DR}}: H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) \rightarrow F^{\ell+1} H_{\text{DR}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell)$ factors through $H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) \xrightarrow{\iota, \text{sgn}, v^\ell} F^{\ell+1} H_{\text{DR}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell)$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) & \xrightarrow{\iota, \text{sgn}, v^\ell} & F^{\ell+1} H_{\text{DR}}^{\ell+1}(X^\ell) \\
 \downarrow 3.1 \delta & \nearrow s & \downarrow \\
 H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1)) & \xrightarrow{\iota, \text{sgn}, v^\ell} & F^{\ell+1} H_{\text{DR}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell) \\
 & \xrightarrow{d \log} & \downarrow q \\
 & & \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(M)
 \end{array}$$

implies that for any $\delta \in H_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell+1}(U^\ell, \mathbb{Q}(\ell+1))$ one has

$s(\delta) = (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} q(d \log \delta) \wedge v^\ell$. For example, for any $f_0, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathcal{O}^*(U)$ one has $d \log(\langle f_0, \dots, f_\ell \rangle)$

$$(-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} \pi_*^{\ell+1}(d \log(\langle f_0, \dots, f_\ell \rangle) \wedge \bar{v}^\ell) \wedge v^\ell$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} \nu^{-\ell} \pi_*^{\ell+1}(\Lambda' d \log f_1 \wedge \bar{v}^\ell) \wedge v^\ell, \text{ where } \Lambda' d \log f_i := p_0^{*\#} d \log f_0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\#} d \log f_\ell. \text{ To prove 3.1.7 it remains to compute this form in terms of } \widehat{\text{div } f_i}.$$

3.3.4. Consider the sum of projections map $P: X^{\ell+1} \rightarrow X$; $X^\ell := \text{Ker } P$. Put $\Lambda' d \log f_i := p_0^{*\#} d \log f_0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\#} d \log f_\ell \in \Omega^{\ell+1}(U^{\ell+1})$, $\tilde{v}^{\ell+1} := p_0^{*\#}(\tilde{v}) \wedge \dots \wedge p_\ell^{*\#}(\tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{E}^{(\ell+1, 0)}(X^\ell)$, $\xi := \Lambda' d \log f_i \wedge p^*(\tilde{v}) \wedge \tilde{v}^{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{E}^{(\ell+2, \ell+1)}(X^\ell)$. By 3.3.1, $\Lambda' d \log f_i$ and ξ are currents on X^ℓ and $P_*(\xi) \in \mathcal{E}^{(2, 1)}(X)$. The formula $P_*(\xi) = \eta \wedge v \wedge \bar{v}$ defines a certain form

$\eta \in \text{Ker}(\Omega^{(1,0)}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(X/M))$. By 3.2.1 we have
 $\pi_*^t(\wedge \log f_1 \wedge \bar{v}^t) = e^*(\eta)$ (where $e: M \rightarrow X$ is the zero section), and so, by 3.3.3, $\wedge \log \langle f_0, \dots, f_t \rangle =$
 $= (-1)^{\frac{t(t-1)}{2}} v^{-t} e^*(\eta) \wedge \bar{v}^t$.

3.3.5. Let us compute $e^*(\eta)$. Consider $P: X^{t+1} \rightarrow X$ as a map of topological tori. One has $\text{Char}(X^{t+1}) = \Gamma^{t+1}$ and $P^*: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{t+1}$ is the diagonal map. Define the Fourier transform for currents and functions on X^{t+1} the same way as in 3.3.2, using $(-1)^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} v^{-t-1} v^{t+1} \wedge \bar{v}^{t+1}$ instead of $v^{-1} v \wedge \bar{v}$.

Since $\eta \in \mathcal{E}^{(1,0)}(X)$, one has $e^*(\eta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\eta}(\gamma)$
 $= v^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{P_*(\xi)}(\gamma) = v^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\epsilon^*}(P^*(\gamma))$. Let α_i, β_i correspond to f_i as in Lemma 3.3.2; then
 $\xi = (-1)^t \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq t \\ 0 \leq j \leq t}} P_i^*(\beta_j) \wedge P_j^*(\alpha_i) \wedge v^{t+1} \wedge \bar{v}^{t+1}$. We have
 $\widehat{\xi}(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_t) = (-1)^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \cdot v^{t+1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq t \\ 0 \leq j \neq i}} \widehat{\beta}_i(\gamma_i) \wedge \widehat{\alpha}_j(\gamma_j) \cdot w$, and so, by 3.3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} e^*(\eta) &= (-1)^{\frac{t(t-1)}{2}} v^t \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq t} \beta_i(\gamma) \wedge \alpha_j(\gamma) \cdot w \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \cdot v^t \cdot v^{t(t+1)} \sum_i (\widehat{\text{div } f_i})(\gamma) \cdot v(\gamma)^{-t-2} \cdot w. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widehat{\text{div } f_i}(\gamma) = \widehat{P_*(\text{div } f_i)}(\gamma)$; here $\text{div } f_i \in \mathbb{V}^{t+1}$. We may combine this with 3.3.4 and the definition of \widetilde{E}_X to see that for any $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(V)$ one has $\wedge \log \widetilde{E}_X(\varphi) = (-1)^t (t+1) (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \cdot v(\gamma)^{-t-2}) w \wedge \bar{v}^t$.

This series coincides with $\widetilde{E}^t(\varphi)$ from the beginning of 3.3. To see this, choose $v = dz_1$ on $H \times G$. Then $w = (-2\pi i)^{-1} dz_0$, and you get the desired formula.

4. CODA: THE VALUES OF L-FUNCTIONS.

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 for $t > 1$.

4.1. Preliminaries on ϵ -factors, and periods. Suppose we are given two elements $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$; say that a_1 is equivalent to $a_2, a_1 \sim a_2$ if $a_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} a_2$. If U is any non-zero $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -vector space, then $a_1 \sim a_2 \iff a_1 U = a_2 U \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes U$.

Denote by \mathbb{X} the group of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ -valued Dirichlet characters; for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $\mathbb{X}^t \subset \mathbb{X}$ the set of characters of the same parity as t . For $V \in \mathcal{R}$ (cf. 1.1.3) let $\theta(V): \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ be its central character; one has $\theta(V) \in \mathbb{X}^0$. For $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $V \in \mathcal{R}$ denote by $x \cdot V$ the twisted representation $x(\det) \otimes V$; one has $\theta(x \cdot V) = x^2 \cdot \theta(V)$. Denote by $\epsilon(V, S), \epsilon(x, S) \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$ the ϵ -factors in functional equations.

Lemma 4.1.1 ([3] (5.5)). Equivalence classes of $\epsilon(x, n), \epsilon(v, n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, do not depend on n ; denote them by $\epsilon(x), \epsilon(v)$. One has $\epsilon(v) \sim \epsilon(\theta(v)), \epsilon(x_1 \cdot x_2) \sim \epsilon(x_1) \cdot \epsilon(x_2)$.

Proof: For $a \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$ define the function $\varphi_a: \text{Aut } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$ by formula $\varphi_a(g) = g(a)a^{-1}$. Clearly one has $a_1 \sim a_2 \iff \varphi_{a_1} = \varphi_{a_2}$. So the lemma is implied by the fact that $\varphi_{\epsilon(x, n)}(g) = x(\sigma(g)), \varphi_{\epsilon(v, n)} = \theta(v)(\sigma(g))$ for $x \in \mathbb{X}^t, V \in \mathcal{R}$. Here $\sigma: \text{Aut } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ is the character of the action on the roots of unity. Decompose 's into the product of local ones: $\epsilon(x) \sim \sqrt{-1} \prod \epsilon_p(x_p, \psi_p), \epsilon(v) \sim \prod \epsilon_p(v_p, \psi_p)$. The above identities follow from the fact that $\epsilon_p(x_p, \psi_p(bx)) = x_p(b) \epsilon_p(x_p, \psi_p(x)), \epsilon_p(v_p, \psi_p(bx)) = \theta_p(v)(b) \epsilon_p(v_p, \psi_p(x))$ for $b \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^*$, since the values of ϵ_p -functions at integers are defined in a purely algebraical way and so $\epsilon_p(\varphi_p(g)x) = \epsilon_p(\varphi_p(g)x)$. \square

Since $L(x, 1-t) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ for $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{X}^t$, the functional equations imply

Lemma 4.1.2. a) For $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{X}^t$ one has $L(x, t+2) \sim \epsilon(x)(2\pi i)^{t+2}$.

b) For $V \in \mathcal{R}$ and $t \geq 0$ one has $L(v, t+2) \sim \epsilon(v) \pi^{2t+2} \iota(v^*, -t)$ (cf. 1.1.3). \square

For $V \in \mathcal{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ say that $a \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^*$ is an t -twisted period of V if $\Omega^1(M_V) = a H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(-t))$ under the period isomorphism $\Omega^1(M_V) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{R}(-t))$. The equivalence class $\mathfrak{L}_t(V)$ of such a 's is well-defined.

Lemma 4.1.3. a) One has $L(V, 1) \cdot H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \Omega^1(M_V)$.

b) For any $V \in \mathcal{R}$ one may find even χ^+ and odd χ^- such that both $L(V \cdot \chi^\pm, 1)$ are invertible; so in this case $L(V \chi^\pm, 1) \sim \mathfrak{L}_0(V \chi^\pm)$.

c) Let χ be the Dirichlet character of the same parity as $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any $V \in \mathcal{R}$ one has $\mathfrak{L}_{ij}(V \cdot \chi) \sim (\pi\sqrt{-1})^i \epsilon(\chi) \mathfrak{L}_j(V)$.

Proof: This lemma is well known: a) follows from Manin-Drinfeld, b) follows from surjectivity of Birch-Manin symbol map. To see c), decompose the zero component of M 's motive $[\bar{M}]^0$ (= motive of cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$) by the characters of $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* = A^f/\mathbb{Q}^{*+} : [\bar{M}]^0 = \bigoplus [\chi]$. The group $G(A^f)$ acts on $[\chi]$ by $\chi^{-1}(\det)$. So the canonical pairing $[\bar{M}]^0 \otimes [\bar{M}]^1 \rightarrow [\bar{M}]^1$ defines the isomorphism $[\chi] \otimes M_V \xrightarrow{\sim} M_V \otimes \chi(\det)$. If i has the same parity as χ , then $H_B^0([\chi], \mathbb{Q}(-i))$ and $H_{\text{DR}}^0([\chi])$ are 1-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -spaces and one has $H_{\text{DR}}^0([\chi]) = \epsilon(\chi) \cdot (\pi\sqrt{-1})^i \cdot H_B^0([\chi], \mathbb{Q}(-i))$ (cf. [3] (6.5)). This, together with the (trivial) Künneth formula proves c). \square

Corollary 4.1.4. Let $V \in \mathcal{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $\chi \in \mathbb{X}^t$ one has $L(\chi \cdot V, 1) \in \epsilon(\chi)(\pi i)^{-t} \mathfrak{L}_t(V) \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. One may find $\chi \in \mathbb{X}^t$ s.t. $L(\chi \cdot V, 1)$ is invertible. In this case $L(\chi \cdot V, 1) \sim \epsilon(\chi)(\pi i)^{-t} \mathfrak{L}_t(V)$. \square

4.2. The use of Poincare duality. Let us reformulate 1.3. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(-t)) \otimes H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ the Poincare duality pairing: $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \text{Tr}(\alpha \cup \beta)$ (cf. 2.10). Consider our space $P_t = \bigoplus_{V \in \mathcal{R}} V \otimes P_{tV} \subset H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{R}(t+1)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\dim H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)) = 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} P_{tV}^* &= \langle P_t, H_B^1(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q}(-t))_V \rangle_{H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(t+1))} \\ &= \langle P_t, \Omega^1(\bar{M})_V \rangle \mathfrak{L}_t(V)^{-1} H_B^1(M_V, \mathbb{Q}(t+1)). \end{aligned}$$

By 3.1, 2.4.2 and 2.3.3, we know that $\langle P_t, \Omega^1(\bar{M})_V \rangle \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the space generated by Petersson scalar products $(\omega, E^t(\varphi_1) \cdot \mathcal{E}^t(\varphi_2))$, where $\omega \in \Omega^1(\bar{M})_V$ and $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{X}^t$. Note that $\mathbb{X}^t \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{X \in \mathbb{X}^t} E_X$, where E_X is an irreducible representation s.t. $L(E_X, s) = L(X, s-t-1) \cdot L(1, s)$. So 4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.4 imply that 1.3 follows from

(4.2.1) For any irreducible $V \in \Omega^1(\bar{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $X \in \mathbb{X}^t$ the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space generated by scalar products $(\omega, E^t(e) \cdot \mathcal{E}^t(\varphi)) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\omega \in V, e \in E_X, \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^t$, coincides with $\frac{L(V \cdot X, 1) \cdot L(V, t+2)}{L(X, \theta(V), t+2)} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

This statement follows immediately from Rankin's trick. In the next section I will recall briefly the basic points we need; for details see e.g. [4].

4.3. Rankin's trick. First we need some facts about q -expansions and Mellin transforms. Let $\psi : A^f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the character of A^f s.t. $\psi(t) = \exp(-2\pi it)$ for $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $\psi_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a local component of ψ . A Whittaker (or simply W -) function on G is a continuous \mathbb{C} - or $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued function f on G s.t. $f(g_{u,1}^{1,0}) = \psi(u)f(g)$ for any $u \in A^f$; similarly one defines W -functions on $G_p := GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Say that a W -function on G is rational if for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{C}$ one has $\sigma(f(g)) = f(g_{0, \theta(\sigma)^{-1}}^{1,0})$; and the same for W -functions on G_p .

Let ω be a $t+1$ -form on $\mathbb{X}^t \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with log-singularities at ∞ . Its inverse image to $H^+ \times G$ is $\omega_{(q)} \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathbb{X}^t$, where $\omega_{(q)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha > 0} f_{\alpha, \omega}(g) \cdot q^\alpha$ (see 2.1.3). Put $W(\omega) := f_{1, \omega}$. Then $W(\omega)$ is a W -function; if $\omega \in \Omega^{t+1}(\mathbb{X}^t)$, then $W(\omega)$ is rational; if ω is parabolic, then

$$(4.3.1) \quad \omega_{(q)} \frac{dq}{q} \wedge \mathbb{X}^t = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha > 0} [W(\omega) dq \wedge \mathbb{X}^t] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $E = \otimes E_p \subset \Omega^{t+1}(\mathbb{X}^t, \log \omega) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation. Then $W(E) = \otimes W(E_p)$, where $W(E_p)$ are certain spaces of rational $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued W -functions on G_p ; this means that $W(E)$ is the space of linear combinations of functions $f(g) = \prod f_p(g_p)$ where $f_p \in W(E_p)$ and for almost all p, f_p is

the spherical function, i.e., (unique) $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant function s.t. $f_p(1) = 1$. For $e \in E$ consider the function $K(e)$ on A^{f^*} given by the formula $K(e)(a) := W(e)((\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}))$; this function is compactly supported in A^f and rational in the sense that $\sigma K(e)(a) = K(e)(a \cdot \theta(\sigma))$ for $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{C}$. Similarly one defines rational functions $K(e_p)$ on \mathbb{Q}_p^* for $e_p \in E_p$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} L(e_p, s) &:= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} K(e_p)(a) |a|_p^{s-t-1} d^* a \\ &= \sum \left(\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} K(e_p)(p^n a) d^* a \right) \cdot p^{-n(s-t-1)} \end{aligned}$$

(here $d^* a$ is the standard invariant measure on \mathbb{Q}_p^*). This formal series is a rational function of parameter p^{-s} and the set $\{L(e_p, s), e_p \in E_p\}$ coincides with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[p^{-s}, p^s] \cdot L(E_p, s)$, where $L(E_p, s)$ is the L-factor of E_p . The Euler product $L(E, s) = \prod L(E_p, s)$ converges for $\text{Re } s > \frac{t+3}{2}$; it prolongs holomorphically to any s and satisfies the functional equation for $s \leftrightarrow t+q-s$.

Now let $V = \otimes V_p \subset \Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an irreducible parabolic representation; we may apply the above to it. For $w_p \in V_p$, $e_p \in E_p$ put $[w_p, e_p] := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} K(w_p) \cdot K(e_p) \cdot |a|^{s-t-2} d^* a$; again this series is a rational function of the parameter p^{-s} , and for a certain L-factor $L(V_p, E_p, s)$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space, generated by $[w_p, e_p]_s$, $w_p \in V_p$, $e_p \in E_p$, is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[p^{-s}, p^s] \cdot L(V_p, E_p, s)$. If both w_p and e_p are spherical functions, then $[w_p, e_p]_s = L(V_p, E_p, s) \cdot L(\theta(V_p) \cdot \theta(E_p), -t-2)^{-1}$. The Euler product $L(V, E, s) = \prod L(V_p, E_p, s)$ converges for $\text{Re } s > t+3$ and prolongs holomorphically to any s (if $t = 0$ this is valid for $E \neq V^*$). Consider the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear function $[\cdot, \cdot]_s : V \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined by $[\otimes w_p, \otimes e_p]_s = \prod [w_p, e_p]_s$ for $\text{Re } s > t+3$; for arbitrary s this function is meromorphic, it is holomorphic for $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{t+3}{2}$. Since local factors $L(\theta(V_p) \cdot \theta(E_p), 2s-t-2)^{-1}$ have no zeros for $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{t+2}{2}$ and take values in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ at integers, the above shows that

$$(4.3.2) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq \frac{t+3}{2} \text{ the } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-space generated by } [w, e]_n, w \in V, e \in E, \text{ is } L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-t-2)^{-1} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let us reformulate (4.3.2) a bit. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{s, t}$ the space of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued continuous functions φ on G s.t. $\varphi(g \cdot (\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})) = \varphi(g) \cdot |a/d|_f^{s-t} \cdot a^t$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, $c \in A^f$, $d \in A^{f^*}$; if s is integral let $\mathcal{B}_{s, t}^0 \subset \mathcal{B}_{s, t}$ be the subspace of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued ones; clearly $\mathcal{B}_{t+1, t}^0 = \mathcal{J}^t \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Fix a non-zero invariant measure du on $G/\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}) \mathcal{U}(A^f)$, then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{t+1, 2t}$ the measure $\varphi \cdot |\det|_f^t \cdot du$ is right $B(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant, so we have non-degenerate G-pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{s, t} : \mathcal{B}_{s, t} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{t+1-s, t} \otimes \mathcal{J}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\mathcal{B}_{n, t}^0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_{t+1-n, t}^0 \otimes \mathcal{J}^t \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \int_{G/B(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathcal{U})(A^f)} \varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2 \cdot |\det|_f^t du$. Now for $w \in E$, $e \in E$ consider the function $\langle w, e \rangle_S : g \mapsto [g^{-1}w, g^{-1}e]_S$; clearly $\langle w, e \rangle_S \in \mathcal{B}_{-s+t+2, t}^0$. So we have the G-map $\langle \cdot \rangle_S : V \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{-s+t+2, t}^0$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{s-1, t}$ one has

$$(4.3.3) \quad \langle \langle w, e \rangle_S, \varphi \rangle = \int_{G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(A^f)} w(w) \cdot \overline{W}(e) \varphi |\det|_f^t du'.$$

Here $Z = \text{Center } GL_2$ and du' is an invariant measure on $G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(A^f)$; note that $w(w) \cdot \overline{W}(e) \cdot \varphi |\det|_f^t$ is a right $Z(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(A^f)$ -invariant function.

Since the spaces $\mathcal{B}_{s, t}$ for $s \neq t/2, t/2 + 1$ are irreducible, 4.3.2 implies that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > \frac{t+4}{2}$ one has

$$(4.3.4) \quad \langle \cdot \rangle_n(V \otimes E) = L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-t-2)^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{-n+t+2, t}^0$$

or

$$(4.3.5) \quad \text{the } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-space generated by } \langle \langle w, e \rangle_n, \varphi \rangle, w \in V, e \in E, \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1, t}^0 \text{ is } L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-t-2)^{-1} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Remark 4.3.6. The equality 4.3.4 holds also for $n = \frac{t+4}{2}$ if we assume that $V \neq E^*$ (for $t = 0$). (Note that the left-hand side is contained in the right-hand one, and the only non-trivial G-subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{t/2, t}^0$ is $|\det|_f^{-t/2} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. So, if the equality does not hold we have a non-trivial G-pairing between V and E .) For $n = \frac{t+4}{2}$ the space $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, t}^0$ contains a unique non-trivial G-subspace \mathcal{J}^t ; clearly 4.3.4 implies that the stronger version of 4.3.5 - when φ runs only through \mathcal{J}^t - holds. \square

Now we may return to Eisenstein series. For $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_{s,\ell}$ the section $(2\pi y)^{s-\ell} \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathcal{E}^\ell$ of $\omega_{C^\infty}^\ell(H^+ \times G)$ is $B(\mathbb{Q})^+$ -invariant and is an element of $\omega_{C^\infty}^\ell(\tilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$. The series $\mathcal{E}^{s,\ell}(\varphi)$:= $p_*((2\pi y)^{s-\ell} \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathcal{E}^\ell)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{\ell+2}{2}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{s,\ell} : \mathfrak{g}_{s,\ell} \rightarrow \omega_{C^\infty}^\ell(M)$ commutes with G -action; the map $\mathcal{E}^{\ell+1,\ell}$ is $(\ell+1) \cdot \mathcal{E}^\ell$ from 2.3. So for $e \in E$ we have $\mathbb{C} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued $(1,0)$ -form $e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,\ell}(\varphi)$ on M . Let us compute the scalar product $(\omega, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,\ell}(\varphi))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,\ell}(\varphi)) &= 2^{\ell+1} \int_{M(\mathbb{C})} \omega(q) \cdot \overline{\varphi(q)} (2\pi y)^s \cdot |\det g|^\ell d2\pi y \cdot dx \\ (4.3.1) \quad &= 2^{\ell+1} \int_{H^+ \times G / Z(\mathbb{Q})} W(\omega) q \overline{\mathcal{E}(q)} \cdot (2\pi y)^s \\ &\quad \cdot |\det g|^\ell d2\pi y \cdot dx. \end{aligned}$$

To compute this first integrate along x , i.e., along $Z(A)$ -orbits. The Fourier orthogonal relations show that we may replace $\mathcal{E}(q)$ by $\overline{W(e) \cdot q}$ in this integral; we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{s,\ell}(\varphi)) &= 2^{\ell+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-4\pi y} (2\pi y) d2\pi y \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{G/Z(\mathbb{Q}) Z(A)^f} \varphi \cdot W(\omega) \cdot \overline{W(e)} |\det| du \\ (4.3.3) \quad &= c \cdot 2^{-s} \Gamma(s+1) \langle \omega, e \rangle_{s+1, \varphi} \end{aligned}$$

for certain $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$. So 4.3.5 implies that for $n > \frac{\ell+4}{2}$ the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space, generated by $(\omega, e \cdot \mathcal{E}^{n-1,\ell}(\varphi))$, $\omega \in V$, $e \in E$, $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1,\ell}^0$ coincides with $L(V, E, n) \cdot L(\theta(V) \cdot \theta(E), 2n-\ell-2)^{-1} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

For $E = E_\chi$ and $n = \ell+2$ this statement is exactly 4.2.1, since $L(V, E_\chi, s) = L(V, s) L(V \cdot \chi, s-\ell-1)$ and $\theta(E_\chi) = \chi$. This finishes the proof of 1.3 in case $\ell > 0$.

5. CASE $\ell = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 in case $\ell = 0$ follows the same pattern as in the case $\ell > 0$. We will discuss here the minor changes needed to treat this case.

5.1. The definition of spaces $\mathfrak{z}_R^0 \subset H_B^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{z}^0 \subset H_B^0(\tilde{M}^\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ and residue maps $\operatorname{Res}_B^0 : H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_R^0$, $\operatorname{Res}_K^0 : H_K^1(M, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^0$ goes without changes. Note that \mathfrak{z}_R^0 is the space of \mathbb{R} -valued measures on $|M^\infty \otimes \mathbb{R}|$, invariant under the action of sufficiently small open $K \subset G$, and \mathfrak{z}^0 is the space of \mathbb{Q} -valued ones on $|M^\infty|$. So we have canonical maps $\int : \mathfrak{z}_R^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{z}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$; let $\overline{\mathfrak{z}}_R^0$, $\overline{\mathfrak{z}}^0$ be the kernel of \int . The exact cohomology sequence shows that the image of Res_B is $\overline{\mathfrak{z}}_R^0$; one also has $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Res}_K = \overline{\mathfrak{z}}^0$ by Manin-Drinfeld theorem (note that $H_K^1(M, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and Res_K^0 is divisor map).

The forms \mathcal{E}^0 and \mathcal{E}_K^0 of 2.1.3, 2.2 are $d \log q$ and $\log|q|$ respectively. The Eisenstein series $P_*(\varphi \cdot \mathcal{E}_K^0)$ for $\varphi \in \mathfrak{z}_R^0$ does not converge absolutely. They are defined as analytic continuation of series $\mathcal{E}^{s,0}$ (see 4.3) to $s = 1$; one proceeds in the same manner with E^0 or put directly $E^0(\varphi) = dz \mathcal{E}^0(\varphi)$. The results of 2.1.3, 2.2 and 2.3 remain valid (with \mathfrak{z}_R^0 replaced by $\overline{\mathfrak{z}}_R^0$).

As in 2.1.3, put $\mathfrak{z}_R^0 = H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \cap F^1 H_{DR}^1(M \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Im}(H_K^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \rightarrow H_R^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)))$. We have canonical direct sum decomposition (valid for any curve) $H_R^2(M, \mathbb{R}(2)) = H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) = \mathfrak{z}_R^0 \oplus H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1))$. The Lemma 2.4.1 in case $\ell = 0$ is not true as stated but the proof (trivial in this case) shows that $E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_1) \cup E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_2)$ is the projection of $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2$ to $H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1))$. Consider the Poincaré duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ restricted to $\Omega^1(\overline{M} \otimes \mathbb{R}) \subset H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C}), H_B^1(M, \mathbb{R}(1)) \subset H_B^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. The above shows that $\langle \omega, \psi_1 \cup \psi_2 \rangle = \langle \omega, E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_1) \cup E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_2) \rangle = -(\omega, E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_1) E^0(\operatorname{Res} \psi_2))$.

5.2. The above decomposition of $H_R^2(M, \mathbb{R}(2))$ also holds for H_K^2 . To see this recall the following lemma of Bloch. Let S be a spectrum of somewhat localized ring of integers in a number field, $p : \overline{C} \rightarrow S$ be a projective curve over S and

$C^\infty \subset \overline{C}$ be a divisor. Suppose that \overline{C} is regular scheme and C^∞ is a disjoint union of components S_i s.t. for any i the projection $p|_{C_j}: S_j \rightarrow S$ is isomorphism and any $S_i - S_j$ has finite order in $\text{Pic}(\overline{C})$. Put $C := \overline{C} \setminus C^\infty$.

Lemma 5.2.1. Put $\Phi_M(C) := \{H_M^1(C, \mathbb{Q}(1)), p^*H_M^1(S, \mathbb{Q}(1))\} \subset H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))$. We have the direct sum decomposition

$$H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = \Phi(C) \oplus H_M^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)).$$

Proof: Consider the exact localization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus H_M^0(S_i, \mathbb{Q}(1)) &\rightarrow H_M^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{j^*} H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} \oplus H_M^1(S_i, \mathbb{Q}(1)) &\xrightarrow{i^*} H_M^3(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_M^0(S_i, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = 0$, the arrow j^* is injective. Since $p_*i_* = \oplus (p_j|_{C_j})_*$ the image of ∂ is contained in the kernel of the sum of the coordinate map; but this one equals $\partial \Phi(C)$ by our conditions. So $\text{Im } \partial = \text{Im } \partial|_{\Phi(C)}$, i.e., $H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is the sum of our subspaces. To see that this sum is direct, we have to show that $\text{Ker } \partial|_{\Phi(C)} = 0$. Note that $\text{Ker}(\partial \circ \{\cdot, \cdot\}) = \text{div} \circ p^* : \mathcal{O}^*(C) \otimes \mathcal{O}^*(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \oplus_i \mathcal{O}^*(S_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ obviously coincides with $\mathcal{O}^*(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}^*(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Since its image in Φ is zero by Borel's theorems $\{\mathcal{O}^*(S), \mathcal{O}^*(S)\} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset K_2(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, the map $\partial|_{\Phi(C)}$ is injective. \square

Put $H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} = \{\mathcal{O}(C)^*, \mathcal{O}(C)^*\} \cdot \mathbb{Q} \subset H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))$, $H_M^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} = H_M^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cap H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}}$. Clearly 5.2.1 implies

5.2.2 One has direct sum decomposition

$$H_M^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} = \Phi(C) \oplus H_M^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}}.$$

Let us apply the above considerations to the fiber $C_\eta^\infty \subset \overline{C}_\eta \supset C_\eta$ of the above situation over the generic point $\eta \in S$. Since $\mathcal{O}^*(C_\eta) = \mathcal{O}^*(\eta) \cdot \mathcal{O}^*(C)$ one has

5.2.3. The restriction map $H_M^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} \rightarrow H_M^2(\overline{C}_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}}$ is surjective.

By Manin-Drinfeld the curves $M_n/\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n]$ fit into 5.2.1.

5.2.4. One has canonical direct sum decompositions $H_M^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = H_M^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \oplus \Phi_M(M)$, $H_M^2(M, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} = H_M^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} \oplus \Phi_M(M)$.

Now let us prove that $H_M^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\text{Parab}} \subset H_M^2(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (Theorem 1.2.3; case $\ell = 0$). Consider canonical model of \overline{M} over \mathbb{Z} . Namely, let $\overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ be the integral closure of $M_{n\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ in \overline{M}_n . Clearly $\overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is a proper scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ with $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -action. For n_1/n_2 one has an obvious map $\overline{M}_{n_2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{n_1\mathbb{Z}}$; put $\overline{M}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \lim_{\leftarrow} \overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$. One knows that the $\overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ are regular schemes, and the scheme $M_n^\infty :=$ the closure of M_n^∞ in $\overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is a disjoint union of components that project isomorphically to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ (cf. e.g. [5]). So 1.2.3 will follow from 5.2.2, if we prove the following more precise version of Manin-Drinfeld theorem:

Lemma 5.2.4. The difference of any two components of M_n^∞ has finite order in $\text{Pic } \overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof: Note that for any $x \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ the fiber \overline{M}_{nx} of $\overline{M}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ over x is reduced. So (by the ordinary Manin-Drinfeld and since $\text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$) 5.2.4 follows from

5.2.4.1. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(M)$ and a closed point $x_{(n)} \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ the order of $\text{div } f$ along the irreducible components of \overline{M}_x is constant.

Let $p = \text{char } x_{(n)}$ and $n = p^a m$, $(m, n) = 1$. Recall that the components of \overline{M}_{nx} are in natural 1-1 correspondence with points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})$; the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ on the first set corresponds to the obvious action via $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})$ on the second. It will be convenient for us to pass to \overline{M} : so, if $x \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ is closed point of $\text{char } p$ then, the set of components of M_x is $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The natural action of G on \overline{M} prolongs to the one on $\overline{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}$; the group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f) \subset G$ acts on the set of components of \overline{M}_x via the projection

$$\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

These facts easily imply 5.2.4.1. Namely, for a profinite set L denote by $\mathfrak{X}(L)$ the space of locally-constant \mathbb{Q} -valued

functions on L , let $\mathbb{Q} \subset L$ be the space of constant functions. The arrows $\text{div}_{x_n}^* : \mathcal{O}^*(M_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}))$, $\text{div}_{x_n}^*(f)(\alpha) = \frac{\text{ord}_f}{\text{ord}_{x_n} p} \alpha$ are compatible for different n and so defined $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)$ -map $\text{div}_x : \mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. We have to show that $\text{div}_x(\mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Q}$. But the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)$ -module $\mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an automorphic representation (Eisenstein series + trivial module). The space $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)) / \mathbb{Q}$ is the sum of infinite-dimensional representations of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)$ acts on it via the projection on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. So $\text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}^f)}(\mathcal{O}^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)) / \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, and we are done. \square

5.3. All the results of Section 4 remain valid for $\ell = 0$: one has to use Remark 4.3.6. This finishes the proof in case $\ell = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Beilinson, Higher regulators and values of L-functions. Preprint 1982 (in Russian).
2. A. Beilinson, Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology. Preprint 1983.
3. P. Deligne, Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes des intégrales. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. XXXIII, part 2, 1979, 313-346.
4. H. Jacquet, Automorphic forms on GL_2 , part II. Lect. Notes in Math. 278.
5. N. Katz, B. Mazur, Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves. Preprint, Princeton, 1982.

NOTES ON ABSOLUTE HODGE COHOMOLOGY

A. A. Beilinson

INTRODUCTION. First a few words about the situation in étale cohomology to motivate what follows. Let $w: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ be a scheme over a field K , and let $\mathfrak{J} \in D^b(X_{\text{ét}})$ be a complex of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$; put $\underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{J}) := R\pi_*(\mathfrak{J}) \in D^b((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{R}\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathfrak{J}) &= R\Gamma((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}, \underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{J})) \\ &= R\text{Hom}_{D^b((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}})}(Z, \underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{J})). \end{aligned}$$

If \bar{K}/K is a separable closure of K and $G = \text{Gal } \bar{K}/K$, then the sheaves on $(\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}$ are G -modules, and $\underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{J}) = \underline{R}\Gamma((X \otimes_K \bar{K})_{\text{ét}}, \mathfrak{J})$ is the geometric étale cochain complex of X with canonical G -action.

0.1. Now suppose that $K = \mathbb{C}$. Then, following Deligne [4] the role of sheaves on "arithmetic" $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ should be played by (mixed) Hodge structures. This analogy suggests that for any scheme X there should be a canonical object $\underline{R}\Gamma(X, Z) \in D^b(\mathbb{H})$ (where $\mathbb{H} = \text{category of Hodge structures}$), whose underlying complex of abelian groups is the usual chain complex of topological space $X(\mathbb{C})$. We will see that this is indeed the case: The basic construction of Deligne [4] plus a bit of homological algebra do the job. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the absolute Hodge cochain complex of X with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}(i)$

$$\underline{R}\Gamma_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) := R\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbb{H})}(Z, \underline{R}\Gamma(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))).$$

Here (i) on the right-hand side means Tate twist in $D^b(\mathbb{H})$. The absolute Hodge (or simply \mathbb{H} -) cohomology groups $H_{\mathbb{H}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(*)) = H^i(\underline{R}\Gamma_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(*)))$ for m a twisted Poincaré duality theory in the sense of [3]. They may be easily computed in terms of Deligne-Hodge structure in $H^*(X)$, e.g. we have canonical